

In the Court of District & Addl. Sessions Judge-I, Gopalganj**B.P. No. 446/2026**

Nagendra Pandey :----- Petitioner

V r s

The State of Bihar :----- Opposite party

Counsel for the petitioner :----- Sri Sachin Kumar Tiwari, Advocate

Counsel for the Opposite party :-----Sri Devbansh Giri, Ld. P.P.

<u>19-05-2026</u>	<u>Order</u>	Remarks
	Bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioner Nagendra Pandey, who is in custody since 17.04.2026 respectively in connection with Gopalganj P.S., Case No. 443/2015, registered U/s 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 109, 352, 3(5) of BNS. The prosecution story in brief is that on 12.06.2025 around 11 am morning, when the informant had gone to its own nearby field, at that time, the property dealer namely Nagendra Pandey came and told the informant that he has arranged a wealthy customer for the informant's land. It is alleged that when the informant refused to sell her land, Nagendra Pandey started assaulting her with kicks and punches. When the informant's husband came to rescue her, Nagendra Pandey attacked him with iron rod as a result he sustained fracture in right hand and injuries in fingers, chest and neck. Hence, the present FIR. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner argued that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. Learned counsel further argued that the prosecution story is totally false, fabricated and concocted. Learned counsel further argued that the petitioner has two criminal antecedent. With these arguments the learned counsel for the petitioners prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner. Per contra, learned. P.P. for the State argued that the offence alleged to have been committed by the petitioner is serious in nature, as such the petitioner is not entitled to grant this bail. Heard both the parties and perused the case record. The	

Contd. <u>19-05-2026</u>	allegations against the petitioner is that he physically assaulted the informant and her husband. However, the allegations made in the FIR do not corroborate with the injuries described in the injury report. The petitioner has already remained in custody for a considerable period of one month. Therefore, after taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances of this case, the petitioner namely 1.) Nagendra Pandey is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of equal amount to the satisfaction of Ld. Court concerned with condition that (i) one bailor shall be close relatives, and (ii) in case of repetition of any type of offence with which he has been charged with in future his bail bond shall be automatically cancelled. (Dictated) (Sanjeev Kumar Singh) A.S.J.-I	
---	---	--