

In the Court of District and Addl. Sessions Judge - I, Gopalganj**A.B.P.No. 1079/2026**

1.) Gulam Mohammad Yahiya :----- Petitioner

V r s

The State of Bihar :-----Opposite party

Counsel for the petitioner :-----Sri Rupesh Kumar Tiwari, Advocate

Counsel for the O.P. :-----Sri Deobansh Giri, P. P.

<u>29-06-2026</u>	<u>Order</u>	<u>Remarks</u>
	Anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioners 1.) Gulam Mohammad Yahiya who is apprehending their arrest in connection with Gopalganj Mahila, P.S. Case No. 28/2024, registered U/s 341, 323, 325, 498A, 504/34 of the I.P.C. and 3/4 DP Act is put for hearing. The prosecution story, in brief, is that the informant namely Kaushar Praveen is married to the petitioner on 24.10.2022 as per muslim rites and customs. It is alleged that after the marriage, she was subjected to continuous mental and physical cruelty by her husband and other in laws on account of dowry demand. Despite repeated intervention by her parental family, the alleged harassment was not discontinued. It was specifically alleged that on 15.06.2024, she was brutally assaulted by her husband and other in-laws, and that, at the instigation of her mother-in-law, she was struck with a hammer resulting in a fracture to her waist. It was also alleged that her stridhan, including gold ornaments worth Rs 5 lacs was misappropriated by the accused persons. Hence, the FIR. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner argued that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. Learned counsel further argued that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent. With these arguments learned counsel for the petitioner prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Ld. P.P. for the State opposed the bail prayer of the petitioner. Having heard the ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the case diary. The petitioner is the husband of the informant and has been extended the benefit of Section 41 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS). Therefore, there is no apprehension of his arrest. The petitioner shall appear before the learned trial court on the next date fixed, i.e., 16.07.2026, for framing of charge and shall continue to appear on each and every date thereafter until the disposal of the case. The learned trial court shall take an appropriate decision regarding the confirmation of the petitioner's bail, subject to his cooperation in the expeditious disposal of the case. The learned trial court shall make every effort to conclude the trial, preferably within a period of six months. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner be enlarged on provisional bail till 16.07.2026 on furnishing	

	bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the court below subject to conditions that one of the bailor shall be close relative or family member of the petitioner. Hence, this bail petition is disposed off. (Dictated) (Sanjeev Kumar Singh) A.S.J.-I	
--	--	--