

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE
DISTRICT-GOPALGANJ

Regular Bail Petition No. 450 of 2026

*(Arising out of Kateya P.S. Case No. 638 of 2025, registered U/S 126(2), 115(2), 118(1),
303(2) and 109/3(5) of the B.N.S.)*

In the matter of :-

Name of the petitioner	: Pramod Bhagat.
F.I.R. U/S	: 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 303(2) and 109/3(5) of the B.N.S.
Charge-Sheet U/S	: not submitted.
Counsel for the Petitioner	: Shri Ramashray Singh, ld. Adv.
Counsel for the State	: Shri Deo Vansh Giri, ld. P.P.

ORDER

12-06-2026

1. This Bail Application filed on behalf of above named accused-petitioner, who is in judicial custody since 11-04-2026, in connection with Kateya P.S. Case No. 638 of 2025, registered U/S 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 303(2) and 109/3(5) of the B.N.S., is put up for hearing today.

2. As per the FIR, prosecution case in brief is that on the basis written application of the informant, Kateya P.S. Case No. 638 of 2025 has been lodged against the petitioner and others. It is inter alia alleged in the F.I.R. that on 19-11-2025 at about 09:30 am, informant along with his family members were cleaning his land. In the meanwhile, petitioner along with other accused persons and 5-7 unknown persons armed with various weapons, rod, sword, dab and lathi-danda came there and started abusing. When informant forbade to abuse, accused Rajendra Bhagat caught him from behind and petitioner Pramod Bhagat and accused Dangal Bhagat armed with farsa started assaulting him and when informant's nephew namely Abhishek rushed to save the informant, who was assaulted on his head by the accused persons and he sustained bleeding injury in his head and fell down. It is alleged that all the accused persons assaulted the informant's nephew Vivek Kumar with rod, farsa, lathi and danda and he sustained injury. When Pratima Devi rushed to save, all the accused persons assaulted her and accused Bihari Yadav snatched her magal-sutra. On alarm, informant's nephew namely Nikhil rushed there, who was assaulted by all the accused persons and his mobile phone was snatched by accused Sonu Yadav with intention to commit theft.

3. It is submitted on behalf of accused/petitioner that he is innocent and has committed no offence and has been falsely implicated in this case. It is further submitted that petitioner has got one criminal antecedent. It is further submitted that no case U/S 118(1) and 109 is

(2/3)

Regular Bail Petition No. 450 of 2026

(Contd./12-06-2026)

made out against the petitioner and Sec. 303(2) of the B.N.S. is super-addition. It is further submitted that petitioner is preparing of Bihar Public Service Commission and petitioner's pattidar do not like him and implicated him in this false case due to land dispute. It is lastly submitted that informant wants to grab the land of the petitioner. On such strength, prayer for bail has been made by the petitioner.

4. Heard ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner as well as ld. P.P. for the State. Ld. P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner. During the course of hearing, ld. Counsel on behalf of the informant appeared and strongly opposed the bail prayer of the petitioner.

5. Having heard both the parties and perused the case record. From the perusal of the F.I.R. as well as case record, it transpires that although, petitioner is named in the F.I.R. against whom there is allegation that he and accused Dangal Bhagat have allegedly assaulted the informant's nephew namely Abhishek with farsa and he sustained bleeding injury in his head. Informant and injured witnesses at para-03, 06, 8 and 9 of the case diary have repeated the version of the prosecution and injured witness at para-08 of the case diary has stated that occurrence has taken place between the parties due to previous land dispute. Though, injuries of the injured persons Vivek Kumar and Bigu Bhagat are found to be simple in nature caused by hard and blunt substance but injury of Abhishek Kumar is found to be grievous in nature, which shows that injury is on the center of the head size 3-1/2" x 1/2 x bone deep as mentioned at para-12 of the case diary. Para-13 of the case diary shows that petitioner Pramod Bhagat has got one criminal antecedent. From perusal of para-31 of the case diary, it appears that after completion of investigation against the petitioner, Charge-Sheet No. 359/26 dated 31-05-2026 has been submitted. From perusal of the record, it appears that three co-accused persons have been granted anticipatory bail by the Hon'ble High Court, Patna. The petitioner appears to be in custody since 11-04-2026.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of this case and considering the period of custody of the petitioner and without going into the merit of this case, this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner and hence, the same is hereby allowed. Petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of the ld. Court below

(3/3)

Regular Bail Petition No. 450 of 2026

(Contd./12-06-2026)

subject to conditions that petitioner shall be released only after framing of charge and one of the bailors shall be close relative of the petitioner and one shall be local and petitioner shall file an undertaking that he shall not temper with the evidence and he shall co-operate in the investigation/trial.

Let a copy of this order along with lower court record be sent to the ld. Lower court for information and needful.

(Dictated)

**(Geeta Gupta)
Principal Sessions Judge, Gopalganj.**