

In the Court of District & Addl. Sessions Judge-XIII
cum-Spl. Excise Court No.-I, Gopalganj
A.B.P. No. 923 of 2026

Tetri Devi, Aged about 45 Years, W/o Bacchan Ram, R/o village-Dumaria, P.S. Mohammadpur, District-Gopalganj, Bihar.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

The State of Bihar

.....Opposite Party.

Counsel for the Petitioner :- Sri Braj Bhushan, Advocate

Counsel for the State :- Sri Awadhesh Prasad Mani, Spl. P.P.

Present:- Dhiraj Kumar Mishra,
District and Additional Sessions Judge-XIII,
Gopalganj

Order

25.07.2026

1. Anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of petitioner **Tetri Devi**, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Mohammadpur P.S. Case No. 125 of 2026, U/s. 30(a) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Amendment Act 2022.
2. Learned counsel for the accused-petitioner submits that the petitioner is quite innocent and he has committed no offence as alleged against her, but she has been falsely implicated in this case due to high handedness of police on the instigation of enemies of the petitioner. He further submits that it is crystal clear that the said FIR as well as seizure list were not prepared at the spot rather it was made somewhere else from the PO and this short of matter goes to show the false implication of the petitioner in the above crime. He further submits that the petitioner was not arrested at the spot nor his identity was not proved by any witness as per written report. He further submits that the petitioner bears clean past, so she may be enlarged on anticipatory bail.
3. On the other hand, learned Spl. P.P. appearing on behalf of the State opposed the anticipatory bail petition of the petitioner.
4. Heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the case record. The allegation against the petitioner is that during patrolling duty, the informant received a confidential information that Tetri Devi (the petitioner) has secretly kept the chulai liquor in his home for

selling in village-Dumariya. On receiving the information, when the informant and other police party reached near the home of Tetri Devi, they saw that one woman and one man fled away by motorcycle from there after seeing the police party, but they were identified as Tetri Devi (the petitioner) and Rohit Sahni by the local villagers. It is alleged that the police team recovered **total 66 liter** of illicit country-made chulai liquor from a room of the home of the petitioner, so there is specific allegation against the petitioners under the provision of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act 2016. It further appears that the petitioner is named accused in the FIR and investigation against the petitioner is at initial stage.

5. In view of the above mentioned facts and circumstances of the case and also considering that the investigation of this case is still at initial stage and also that anticipatory bail petition of the petitioner is not maintainable U/s. 76(2) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Amendment Act 2018. Accordingly the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner stands rejected.

(Dictated)

(DHIRAJ KUMAR MISHRA)
DAJ-XIII-cum-Spl. Judge
Excise-I, Gopalganj.