

In the Court of District & Addl. Sessions Judge-XIII
cum-Spl. Excise Court No.-I, Gopalganj
A.B.P. No. 883 of 2026

Ramesh Sah, Aged about 30 Years, S/o Late Prahlad Sah, R/o village-Singha,
P.S. Mirganj, District-Gopalganj.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

The State of Bihar

.....Opposite Party.

Counsel for the Petitioner :- Sri Priyanshi Raj, Advocate
Counsel for the State :- Sri Awadhesh Prasad Mani, Spl. P.P.
Present:- Dhiraj Kumar Mishra,
District and Additional Sessions Judge-XIII,
Gopalganj

Order

18.06.2026

1. Anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of petitioner **Ramesh Sah**, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Hathua P.S. Case No. 77 of 2026, U/s. 30(a) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Amendment Act 2022.
2. Learned counsel for the accused-petitioner submits that the petitioner is quite innocent and he has committed no offence. He further submits that the allegations against the petitioner is totally false, baseless and concocted and the petitioner has no concern with the case and he has been falsely implicated in this case due to highhandedness of the local politics. He further submits that the petitioner was not present at the place of occurrence and seven cases i.e. (i) Mirganj P.S. Case No. 87/2017, (ii) Mirganj P.S. Case No. 135/2020, (iii) Mirganj P.S. Case No. 24/2013, (iv) Mirganj P.S. Case No. 369/2023, (v) Mirganj P.S. Case No. 404/2023, (vi) Mirganj P.S. Case No. 01/2025, (vii) Mirganj P.S. Case No. 02/2025 & (viii) Hathua P.S. Case No. 377/2025 are pending against the petitioner, so he may be enlarged on anticipatory bail.
3. On the other hand, learned Spl. P.P. appearing on behalf of the State opposed the anticipatory bail petition of the petitioner.
4. Heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the case record. The allegation against the petitioner is that during the patrolling duty, the informant received a confidential information that Nandkishore Yadav has recently sold the liquor to liquor mafia Ramesh Singh, and

Ramesh Singh (the petitioner) and Laddu Ram were planning to unload a consignment of illicit liquor from a car at the poultry farm near Nayagaon Durga Mandir. Acting on such information, the police party proceeded towards the place of occurrence. It appears that a suspicious white car was seen fleeing from the nearby route and, despite chase, they managed to escape. Upon subsequent search at the indicated place, several cartons containing altogether **540 liters** of illicit liquor were recovered and seized from the field near a poultry farm. It is alleged that the local people disclosed that the aforesaid liquor has been unloaded by liquor mafia Ramesh Singh(the petitioner) and Laddu Ram. Thus, there appears that there is specific allegation against the petitioners under the provision of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act 2016. In paragraph No. **04, & 05** of the case diary, witnesses have fully supported the prosecution story and the petitioner himself admits **seven more cases** are pending against him as mentioned in para No. 03 of the anticipatory bail petition.

5. In view of the above mentioned facts and circumstances of the case and also considering that **the petitioner is having seven criminal antecedents** and the investigation of this case is still at initial stage and also that anticipatory bail petition of the petitioner is not maintainable U/s. 76(2) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Amendment Act 2018. Accordingly the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner stands rejected.

(Dictated)

(DHIRAJ KUMAR MISHRA)
DAJ-XIII-cum-Spl. Judge
Excise-I, Gopalganj.