

In the Court of District & Addl. Sessions Judge-I, Gopalganj**B.P. No. 337/2026**

Golu Kumar :----- Petitioner

V r s

The State of Bihar :----- Opposite party

Counsel for the petitioner :----- Sri Mustaq Azam, Advocate

Counsel for the Opposite party :-----Sri Devbansh Giri, Ld. P.P.

<u>01-06-2026</u>	<u>O r d e r</u>	Remarks
	Bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioner Golu Kumar, who is in custody since 15.03.2026 respectively in connection with Vijaypur P.S., Case No. 126/2022, registered U/s 366 of I.P.C. The prosecution story in brief is that on 06.06.2022 around 8 am, the informant's daughter was going to appear in an examination when Chandrabhan Ram and Tama Devi allegedly lured her on the pretext of marriage and took her away on a motorcycle to an unknown place. When she did not return home, the informant searched for her and later learnt from a relative, who had seen her leaving on the motorcycle, about the incident. It is alleged that when the informant went to Tama Devi who is the mother of Chandrabhan Ram, she agreed to call the informant's daughter, but later refused. Hence, the present FIR. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner argued that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. Learned counsel further argued that the prosecution story is totally false, fabricated and concocted. Learned counsel further argued that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent. With these arguments the learned counsel for the petitioners prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner. Per contra, learned. P.P. for the State argued that the offence alleged to have been committed by the petitioner is serious in nature, as such the petitioner is not entitled to grant this bail. Heard both the parties and perused the case record. The allegation against the petitioner is that he, along with other co-	

Contd. 01-06-2026	accused persons, kidnapped the informant's daughter by luring her on the pretext of marriage with one of the co-accused. However, although the victim initially made specific allegations against the petitioner and the co-accused in the FIR and in her statement recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C., during her deposition before the Trial Court in connection with the same occurrence, she has materially contradicted and departed from her earlier version. Her testimony in court appears to be inconsistent with the allegations made in the FIR as well as her statement under Section 164 Cr.P.C. Moreover, the petitioner has remained in judicial custody for about two and half months. Therefore, after taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances of this case, the petitioner namely 1.) Golu Kumar is directed to be enlarged on Provisional bail till completion of the investigation on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of equal amount to the satisfaction of the court below subject to conditions that i) one of the bailors shall be father or mother, ii) the petitioner will fully cooperate in the investigation and iii) The Petitioner shall not leave the jurisdiction of the Court without prior permission of the Court, as per direction till completion of the investigation. Accordingly, the petitioner's bail shall be confirmed or extended by Ld. court below only after completion of the investigation. Hence, this bail petition is disposed off. (Dictated) (Sanjeev Kumar Singh) A.S.J.-I	
------------------------------------	---	--