

In the Court of District and Addl. Sessions Judge - I, Gopalganj**A.B.P.No. 1099/2026**

1.) Nandkishore Gupta

2.) Manager Mali :----- Petitioners

V r s

The State of Bihar :-----Opposite party

Counsel for the petitioner :-----Sri Braj Bhushan, Advocate

Counsel for the O.P. :-----Sri Deobansh Giri, P. P.

<u>03-06-2026</u>	Order	Remarks
	Anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioners 1.) Nandkishore Gupta and 2.) Manager Mali who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Bhorey, P.S. Case No. 198/2009, registered U/s 147, 148, 149, 325, 324, 307, 333, 353, 427, 452, 283, 379, 153/34 of the I.P.C. As per F.I.R. prosecution story in brief is that B.D.O. cum C.O. Bhorey Akhilesh Prasad Verma lodged F.I.R. presenting written petition stating therein that on the alleged day and time of the occurrence 300-350 workers of APAWA and MALEY were sitting on Dharna for certain demand and raising slogans against the administrations. At about 3.00 p.m. they became violent and ladies protesters were at front armed with Lathi, Chaila, Farsa and Hasuwa etc.. An attempt was made to stop them but they entered in the office and started assaulting the informant by means of Lathi. They also assaulted to the police party. Any how the informant was taken out side from the office and thereafter the protesters damaged the articles kept in the office and took away battery, inverter from the resident of the informant. Hence, the FIR. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner argued that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. Learned counsel further argued that the petitioner no. 01 has no criminal antecedent and the petitioner no. 02 has two criminal antecedent in which he is on bail. With these arguments learned counsel for the petitioner prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Ld. P.P. for the State opposed the bail prayer of the petitioners. Having heard the ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the case diary. It appears that the present case arises out of an incident of crowd violence. The specific allegations of assault and overt acts have been attributed only against accused persons, namely, Reena Sharma, Shohela Gupta and Ramawati. The name of the remaining accused persons, including the present petitioner, surfaced subsequently on the basis of the information received from others. So far as the present petitioner is concerned, no specific allegation has been made against him and no overt act or particular role has been assigned to him in the alleged occurrence. It further appears that in a similar circumstance, co-accused Anand Ram	

	has already been granted bail. The other co-accused persons in Anticipatory bail petition no. 1183 and 1192 respectively vide order dated 19.05.2026 has already been granted bail from this court. Therefore, it is a fit case to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. The anticipatory bail petition of the petitioners are allowed. Let the petitioners in the event of arrest or surrender within four weeks of the instant order before the Court below be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of equal amount with the condition that (i) the petitioners shall cooperate in the Trial Court proceedings. (Dictated) (Sanjeev Kumar Singh) A.S.J.-I	
--	--	--