

In the Court of District & Addl. Sessions Judge-I, GopalganjA.B.P. No. 781/2026

1- Amit Kumar Gupta@ Amit Shah :-----Petitioner

V r s

The State of Bihar :----- Opposite party

Counsel for the petitioner :----- Sri Imran Alam, Advocate

Counsel for the Opposite party :----- Sri Deobansh Giri, P.P.

03.06.2026	Order	Remarks
	Anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioner namely Amit Kumar Gupta@ Amit Shah, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Phulwaria P.S. Case No. 40/2026, registered U/s 80(2), 3(5) of BNS is put up for hearing. The above case was registered on the written application of the informant namely Omprakash Sah is that he has solemnized the marriage of his daughter with Amit Sah on 26.04.2021. It is alleged that the in laws of her daughter including her husband were harrassing the informant's daughter for Brezza Car in dowry. When the informant's daughter informed the informant about this incident, the informant along with his relatives organized panchayati. Thereafter, her son in law went to abroad and again in laws of the informant's daughter started harassing her. On 16.02.2026 the informant got information that his daughter has been killed. Hence, the present F.I.R. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner argued that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. Learned counsel further argued that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent. Learned Counsel further argued that the prosecution story is totally false, fabricated and concocted. With these arguments learned counsel for the petitioner prayed for grant of regular bail to the petitioner. Ld. P.P. for the State opposed the bail prayer of the petitioners. Heard both the parties and perused the record. The petitioner is alleged to have subjected the informant's daughter to mental and physical cruelty in connection with a demand for dowry and is also	

<u>Contd.</u> <u>03.06.2026</u>	suspected to have played a role in the death of deceased. The petitioner being husband is under suspicion and his role is still under investigation. As mentioned in para 07, 18 and 19 of the case diary, the witnesses have also supported the prosecution story and also alleged the role of the petitioner in this incident. As para 13 of the case diary, the postmortem report reveals that Hyoid bone was fractured and there was ligature mark present all around on the upper part of neck of deceased. It is also noteworthy that the Anticipatory bail petition of other co-accused persons in the similar case have also been rejected. Therefore, at this score it is not a fit case for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly anticipatory bail of the petitioner stands rejected. (Dictated) (Sanjeev Kumar Singh) A.S.J-I
--	---