

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE
DISTRICT-GOPALGANJ

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 593 of 2026

*(Arising out of Gopalpur P.S. Case No. 63 of 2026, registered U/S 126(2), 115(2), 117(2),
118(1), 109, 303(2), 351(2) and 352/3(5) of the B.N.S.)*

In the matter of :-

Name of the petitioners :1.) Sampurna Rawat, 2.) Laxmina Devi, 3.) Pappu Rawat, 4.) Dilip Rawat @ Dilip Kumar, 5.) Dhananjay Rawat @ Dhananjay Kumar, 6.) Dhanesar Rawat, 7.) Umesh Rawat @ Pappu, 8.) Arbinda Rawat @ Arvind Kumar and 9.) Sandesh Rawat.

F.I.R. U/S : 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 118(1), 109, 303(2), 351(2) and 352/3(5) of the B.N.S.

Charge-Sheet U/S : Not submitted

Counsel for the Petitioners : Shri Khajanchi Mishra, ld. Adv.

Counsel for the State : Shri Deo Vansh Giri, ld. P.P.

O r d e r.

01-04-2026

1. This Anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of above named accused-petitioners, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Gopalpur P.S. Case No. 63 of 2026, registered U/S 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 118(1), 109, 303(2), 351(2) and 352/3(5) of the B.N.S., is put up for hearing.

2. As per the FIR, prosecution case in brief is that on the basis of written application of the informant, Gopalpur P.S. Case No. 63 of 2026 has been lodged against the petitioner and others. It is inter alia alleged in the F.I.R. that on 15-02-2026 at about 05:00 pm, informant's sister was returning back to his house from the fair. In the meanwhile, due to dispute of riding motorcycle very fast, altercation took place between the informant's sister and accused Anuj Rawat and at that time, petitioner went away from there. After that petitioners along with other armed with lathi-danda and rod came at the door of informant and started assaulted her brother-in-law very severely and he sustained bleeding injury. Having seen the incident, informant went to intervened, accused Dhaneshwar Rawat caught her arm and accused Dhananjay Rawat and Anuj Rawat inflicted lathi blow on her head and she sustained bleeding injury and she fell down and accused Dhaneshwar Rawat snatched away gold Mangal-sutra and mobile with intention to commit theft. It is alleged that having seen the incident, Durgawati Devi came to save the informant, accused Anuj Rawat slammed her down and snatched her gold-nose pin and while going they threatened to kill them if any case was lodged.

3. It is submitted on behalf of accused/petitioners that they are innocent and have committed no offence and have been falsely implicated in this case. It is further submitted that both the parties are pattidar and neighbour and there is family dispute in between them. It is lastly submitted that petitioner has

(2/2)

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 593 of 2026

(Contd./01-04-2026)

got no criminal antecedent. On such strength, prayer for bail has been made by the petitioners.

4. Heard ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners as well as ld. P.P. for the State. Ld. P.P. has opposed the prayer for bail of the accused-petitioners.

5. Having heard both the parties and perused the case record. From the perusal of the F.I.R as well as case record, it transpires that although, accused-petitioners are named in the F.I.R. but there is no specific allegation attributed against them rather the allegation of committing theft is general and omnibus. Though, informant and witnesses at para-02, 07 and 08 of the case diary have supported the case of the prosecution but they have not stated any specific against the petitioners. From perusal of the injury reports of the injured persons, it appears that injuries are found to be simple in nature. Independent witnesses at para-41 and 42 of the case diary have supported the case of the prosecution and they have stated that due to the quarrel between the children, occurrence has taken place between the parties. There is no mention of any criminal antecedent of the petitioners in the case diary.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of this case, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is allowed. It is accordingly ordered that in the event of arrest or surrender before the ld. Court-below within 30 days from the date of this order, the above named petitioners shall be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of ld. Court-below subject to conditions as laid down U/S 482(2) B.N.S.S. **subject to conditions that one of the bailors shall be close relative of the petitioners and one shall be local with further condition that petitioners will give an undertaking that they shall co-operate in the investigation/trial and they shall not temper with the witnesses or evidence.**

Let a copy of this order be sent to the ld. Lower court for information and needful and the order be uploaded on C.I.S. immediately.

(Dictated)

(Geeta Gupta)
Principal Sessions Judge, Gopalganj.