

In the Court of District and Addl. Sessions Judge - II, Gopalganj

A.B.P. No. 590/2026

1. Suresh Yadav
2. Champa Devi
3. Sunil Kumar Yadav :----- Petitioners
V r s

The State of Bihar :-----Opposite party
Counsel for the petitioners :-----Sri Rajkishore Yadav, Advocate
Counsel for the O.P. :-----Sri Deobansh Giri, P. P.

<u>16-04-2026</u>	<u>Order</u>	<u>Remarks</u>
	<p>Anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioners namely Suresh Yadav, Champa Devi & Sunil Kumar Yadav, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Hathua, P.S. Case No. 353/2025, registered U/s 126(2), 115(2), 118, 109, 303(2), 352, 351(3)/3(5) BNS is put up for hearing.</p> <p>As per F.I.R., prosecution story in brief is that on 09.12.2025, the informant was assaulted by her daughter-in-law and other co-accused persons with bricks on the informant's head due to which she got injured and referred to Gopalganj for treatment. Hence, the present F.I.R.</p> <p>Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners argued that the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case. Learned counsel further argued that the prosecution story is totally false, fabricated and concocted. Learned counsel further argued that except the present case, no other case is pending against these petitioners and the petitioners bear clean past. With these arguments learned counsel for the petitioners prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.</p> <p>Per contra, learned P.P. for the State argued that the offence alleged to have been committed by the petitioners are serious in nature, as such the petitioners are not entitled to grant anticipatory bail.</p> <p>Heard the Ld. Counsels for the parties and perused the case diary. The allegation against the petitioners are that informant's daughter-in-law wants the informant's pension and her land due to which her daughter-in-law with the help of these petitioners have</p>	

Contd.
16-04-2026

assaulted the informant by bricks on the head. On perusal of the injury of the injured shows lacerated wound on back side of head size 1.5 x 0.5 cm and swelling on back side of head size 5cm x 4cm and also NCCT of head is reserved. The offence alleged is serious in nature. Injury is on the back part of the brain which is very sensitive part of the head. There is an intention to kill by the petitioners. There is also matrimonial dispute pending between the parties. The bail of other co-accused has been rejected by this court vide B.P. No. 213/26 today i.e. 16.04.2026.

On the basis of aforesaid facts and observations, the petitioners are directed to surrender and seek for regular bail. The Trial Court shall pass an appropriate order without being prejudiced by this order.

Therefore, the anticipatory bail filed by the petitioners are here by **disposed off**.

(Dictated)

(Kailash Joshi)

A.S.J.-II