

In the Court of District & Addl. Sessions Judge-I, Gopalganj

B.P. No. 209/2026

1- Dhananjay Sah  
2- Ranju Devi  
3- Sachin Kumar Gupta :-----Petitioners

V r s

The State of Bihar :----- Opposite party  
Counsel for the petitioners :----- Sri Imran Alam, Advocate  
Counsel for the Opposite party :----- Sri Deobansh Giri, P.P.

25.03.2026	Order	Remarks
	<p>Bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioners namely Dhananjay Sah, Ranju Devi &amp; Sachin Kumar Gupta <b>who are in judicial custody since 17.02.2026</b> in connection with Phulwariya P.S. Case No. 40/26, registered U/s 80(2)/3(5) BNS is put up for hearing.</p> <p>The above case was registered by informant Om Prakash Sah. The prosecution story, in brief, is that above named petitioners along with other co-accused have demanded car as dowry but the informant unable to fulfill this demand so, the accused persons have murdered the informant's daughter for the demand of dowry. Hence, the present F.I.R.</p> <p>Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners argued that the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case. <b>Learned counsel further argued that the petitioners have no criminal antecedent.</b> Learned Counsel further argued that the deceased was suffering from chronic migraine and was under continuous medical treatment prior to alleged occurrence. Ld. Counsel further argued that there is no specific date, time or act of cruelty soon before death has been attributed to the petitioners, the FIR is conspicuously silent as to any proximate incident involving these petitioners. With these arguments learned counsel for the petitioners prayed for grant of regular bail to the petitioners.</p> <p>Ld. P.P. for the State opposed the bail prayer of the petitioners.</p> <p>Heard both the parties and perused the case diary. There are</p>	

<p><b><u>Contd.</u></b> <b><u>25.03.2026</u></b></p>	<p>seven accused in this case. The petitioners are in-laws to the deceased. The allegation against the petitioners are that the petitioners along with other named accused in the FIR has killed the informant's daughter namely Renu Devi for non-fulfillment of dowry. Informant in her restatement in paragraph no. 6 of the case diary and all the witnesses in paragraph no. 10, 11 &amp; 12 has supported the case of the prosecution. On perusing the inquest report, there is ligature mark on the neck. Postmortem report is still awaiting. <b>On perusal of the case diary paragraph no. 17, alleged persons used to beat and abuse the deceased over an illegal demand of vehicle, in this regard panchayati was also held between the parties.</b> Investigation is pending. There is sufficient material as per record which prima-facie establish the involvement of the petitioners in the offence. The offence alleged to have been caused by the petitioners are serious in nature. Therefore, on these ground the petitioners do not deserve for bail.</p> <p>Keeping in view the facts and circumstances, it is not a fit case for grant of bail to the petitioners. Accordingly bail of the petitioners stand <b>rejected</b>.</p> <p>(Dictated)</p> <p>(Kailash Joshi) A.S.J-I</p>	
--	--	--