

**Bail Application No. 207/2026**  
(Arising out of Kuchaikote P.S. Case No. 87/2023)  
U/s. 399, 402, 412/34 of the IPC & 25(1-B)(a), 26, 35 Arms Act.

In the matter of:

**Bullet Singh**

-----Petitioner.

**Versus**

**The State of Bihar**

-----Respondent.

**Appearance:**

For the Petitioner: Mr. Nitesh Choubhey, Ld. Advocate.

For the State: Mr. Ramesh Kumar Singh, Ld. A.P.P.

**ORDER**

Sl No.	Date of Order or Proceeding	Order with Signature of the Court	Office action taken with Date
1	2	3	4
	<u>28.03.2026</u>	<p>1. This application for regular bail is filed on behalf of Bullet Singh who is in judicial custody since 25.02.2026 in connection with Kuchaikote P.S. Case No. 87/2023 for the offence punishable u/s 399, 402, 412/34 of the IPC &amp; 25(1-B)(a), 26, 35 Arms Act.</p> <p>2. Petitioner has given certificate in para 2 of the bail application that earlier two anticipatory bail applications were filed I.e. ABP No. 832/2023 vide order dated 29.11.2023 which was disposed and the other one is ABP No. 1257/2024 vide order dated 02.08.2024 which was rejected except this no application for anticipatory or regular bail has been filed before any of the Court or any Court superior to this Court. It has been mentioned in para-3 of the bail petition that this petitioner bears one criminal history i.e. Kuchaikote P.S. Case No. 85/2023 in which the petitioner is not named accused.</p> <p>3. Heard, the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned A.P.P. for the State.</p> <p>4 As per self-statement of informant S.I. Kiran Shanker, who</p>	<b>Contd.....)</b>

**Bail Application No. 207/2026**

(Arising out of Kuchaikote P.S. Case No. 87/2023)

U/s. 399, 402, 412/34 of the IPC & 25(1-B)(a), 26, 35 Arms Act.

**28.03.2026**  
(.....Contd)

happens to be the SHO of Kuchaikote P.S, the prosecution case, in brief, is that on 19-02-2023 he along with other police party, proceeded for investigation and raid and during course of raid, he received a secret information that some miscreants who are indulged in doing heinous crime like murder, loot, extortion having deadly weapons are assembled towards north of Sasamusa Shiv Mandir in a lonely place and are preparing for doing crime and after informing the higher authority, the informant along with raiding party reached at the place at 19:30 hours and noticing the police, they all began to fleeing but seven persons were arrested and on interrogation, they disclosed their names as Banti Pandey, Irshad Ali, Sunit Kumar, Rabbe Alam, Danish Khan, Ankesh Kumar and Ankur Kumar and they also disclosed the name of fled away person as Prem Kumar. By following the rule of search, in presence of two independent witnesses, they apprehended persons were searched from their respective possessions arms and ammunition, mobile etc. were recovered and accordingly, seizure-list were prepared and copy of seizure-list were handed over to them. It is alleged that from the possession of petitioner a blue colour knife like steel and a Samsung screen touch mobile no. 9631711595 were recovered.

**5.** The Ld. counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence and has falsely been implicated in this case. It was further submitted by the petitioner is that the prosecution story is totally false, fabricated and concocted. Hence, he deserves regular bail.

**Contd.....)**

**Bail Application No. 207/2026**  
(Arising out of Kuchaikote P.S. Case No. 87/2023)  
U/s. 399, 402, 412/34 of the IPC & 25(1-B)(a), 26, 35 Arms Act.

**28.03.2026**  
(.....Contd)

6. On the other hand, the Ld. Addl. P.P. opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

7. Heard both the sides and perused the entire case record. From perusal of the same, it is found that the present case was instituted vide Kuchaikote P.S. Case. No. 87/2023 under Section 399, 402, 412/34 of the IPC & 25(1-B)(a), 26, 35 Arms Act out of which the offences under Section 399 and 402 are non-bailable. There is no any specific allegation against this petitioner. Though the name of this petitioner came on the confessional statement of the co-accused but he ran away from the place of occurrence and recovery took place on the instance of other co-accused. From perusal of the record, it was found that this petitioner bears a criminal history of same nature and this case is still under investigation.

8. Considering the serious nature of offences, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the bail application of the petitioner is here by **rejected**.

**Dictated**

**Sd/-**

**Additional Sessions Judge IX**

**Gopalganj.**

<b>Date of Judgement/Order</b>	28.03.2026
<b>Date of Reserving Judgment/Order</b>	28.03.2026
<b>Uploading date</b>	04.04.2026
<b>Uploaded by</b>	Omkesk Kumar