

In the Court of District and Addl. Sessions Judge - I, Gopalganj**A.B.P.No. 564/2026**

1.) Ram Prabhawati Devi@ Rambhawati Devi :----- Petitioner

V r s

The State of Bihar :-----Opposite party

Counsel for the petitioner :-----Sri Guddu Kumar Singh, Advocate

Counsel for the O.P. :-----Sri Deobansh Giri, P. P.

<u>22-04-2026</u>	Order	Remarks
	<p>Anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioners 1.) Ram Prabhawati Devi@ Rambhawati Devi who is apprehending her arrest in connection with Kateya, P.S. Case No. 426/2024, registered U/s 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109(1), 351(2), 3(5) of B.N.S.</p> <p>The prosecution story, in brief, is that the informant namely Hiramati Devi on 31.10.2024 around 10.45 am had gone to work in his agricultural land, at that time, Rai Jit Chauhan came in intoxicated state and started verbal abuse, thereafter, her sons came and took him to his house. After that some persons namely Jitendra Chauhan, Sarita Kumari, Mukesh Chauhan and Feku Chauhan Ragu Chauhan, Ramesh Chauhan, Suresh Chauhan, Deepak Chauhan, Chhattu Chauhan, Ram Prabhawati Devi and Gyanti Devi equipped with lathi, rod, farsa came and started beating Rajli Devi as a result she sustained grievous head injury and undergoing medical treatment and still unconscious state. They also attacked the informant and her family as a result they all sustained injuries. Hence, the FIR.</p> <p>Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner argued that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. Learned counsel further argued that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent. With these arguments learned counsel for the petitioner prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.</p> <p>Ld. P.P. for the State opposed the bail prayer of the petitioners.</p> <p>Having heard the ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the case diary. This appears to be a case of free fight between the parties arising out of a land related dispute. Members from both sides have sustained injuries, indicating a mutual altercation. From the material available on record, there are no specific and direct allegations against the present petitioner. The only specific allegation is attributed to one Jitendra Chauhan, who is not the</p>	

petitioner. It is further noted that there is a case and counter case between the parties. Moreover, the co-accused persons in this case have already granted bail by this court vide order dated 04-09-2025 in ABP no. 607/2025.

Therefore, it is a fit case to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. The anticipatory bail petition of the petitioner is **allowed**. Let the petitioner in the event of arrest or surrender within four weeks of the instant order before the Court below be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of equal amount. Accordingly, this bail petition is hereby **allowed**.

(Dictated)

(Sanjeev Kumar Singh)

A.S.J.-I