

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE
DISTRICT-GOPALGANJ

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 549 of 2026

*(Arising out of Gopalpur P.S. Case No. 58 of 2026, registered U/S 126(2), 115(2), 117(2),
118(1), 109, 351(2), 352 and 303/3(5) of the B.N.S.)*

In the matter of :-

Name of the petitioners	:1.) Madhu Kumari, 2.) Sapna Kumari and 3.) Abhinandan Kumar.
F.I.R. U/S	: 126(2), 115(2), 109, 303(2), 352, 351(2) and 329(3)/3(5) of the B.N.S.
Charge-Sheet U/S	: Not submitted
Counsel for the Petitioners	: Shri Ramnath Shahu, ld. Adv.
Counsel for the State	: Shri Deo Vansh Giri, ld. P.P.

Order.

16-04-2026

1. This Anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of above named accused-petitioners, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Gopalpur P.S. Case No. 58 of 2026, registered U/S 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 118(1), 109, 351(2), 352 and 303/3(5) of the B.N.S., is put up for hearing.

2. It is pertinent to mention here that petitioner no. 1) Rahul Kumar has been arrested by the police and on the basis of written application of the informant, his name is deleted from the anticipatory bail application.

3. As per the FIR, prosecution case in brief is that on the basis of written application of the informant, Gopalpur P.S. Case No. 58 of 2026 has been lodged against the petitioner and others. It is inter alia alleged in the F.I.R. that on 11-02-2026 at about 04:00 pm, owing to the dispute between the children, petitioners along with other accused persons lock the informant son-in-law in the house and assaulted him. When informant came to know and informant went ask about the incident, all the accused persons armed with lathi-danda and sword inflicted sword blow on her hand and she sustained cut injury in his hand. It is alleged that petitioners and other slammed her down by catching her hair and assaulted them and made injured.

4. It is submitted on behalf of accused/petitioners that they are innocent and have committed no offence and have been falsely implicated in this case due to village politics. It is further submitted that the incident took place due to altercation between the minor children, which escalated into a verbal and physical dispute between the parties and there is no specific allegations against the petitioners rather the allegations are general and omnibus. It is further submitted that no injuries were sustained on any vital parts of the body. It is lastly submitted that petitioners have got no criminal antecedent. On such strength, prayer for bail has been made by the petitioners.

5. Heard ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners as well as ld. P.P. for the State. Ld. P.P. has opposed the prayer for bail of the accused-petitioners.

(2/2)

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 549 of 2026

(Contd./16-04-2026)

6. Having heard both the parties and perused the case record along with case diary. From the perusal of the F.I.R. as well as case diary, it transpires that although petitioners are named in the F.I.R. but there is no specific allegation attributed against them rather the allegations are general and omnibus and allegation of theft is ornamental. Informant and witnesses at para-04, 05, 06 and 07 of the case diary have repeated the version of the prosecution and they have stated that both the parties belong to same village and they have stated that due to the dispute between the children, occurrence took place between the parties. From perusal of the injury reports at para-25, 26, 27 and 28 of the case diary, it appears that that injuries are found to be simple in nature. There is no mention of any criminal antecedent of the petitioners in the case diary. From perusal of the record, it appears that two co-accused persons have been granted bail by this court in B.P. No. 160/2026.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of this case, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is allowed. It is accordingly ordered that in the event of arrest or surrender before the Id. Court-below within 30 days from the date of this order, the above named petitioners shall be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Id. Court-below subject to conditions as laid down U/S 482(2) B.N.S.S. **subject to conditions that one of the bailers shall be close relative of the petitioners and one shall be local with further condition that petitioners will give an undertaking that they shall co-operate in the investigation/trial and they shall not temper with the witnesses or evidence.**

Let a copy of this order be sent to the Id. Lower court for information and needful and the order be uploaded on C.I.S. immediately.

(Dictated)

(Geeta Gupta)
Principal Sessions Judge, Gopalganj.