

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE
DISTRICT-GOPALGANJ

Regular Bail Petition No. 194 of 2026

(Arising out of Bhore P.S. Case No. 91 of 2026, registered U/S 126(2), 115(2), 109, 303(2), 352 and 351(2)/3(5) of the B.N.S.)

In the matter of :-

Name of the petitioners:	1.) Kameshwar Yadav, 2.) Balister Yadav and 3.) Kalister Yadav.
F.I.R. U/S	: 126(2), 115(2), 109, 303(2), 352 and 351(2)/3(5) of the B.N.S.
Charge-Sheet U/S	: not submitted.
Counsel for the Petitioners	: Shri Sudhir Kumar Tiwari, ld. Adv.
Counsel for the State	: Shri Deo Vansh Giri, ld. P.P.

ORDER

12-03-2026

1. This Bail Application filed on behalf of above named petitioners, who are in judicial custody since 21-02-2026 in connection with Bhore P.S. Case No. 91 of 2026, registered U/S 126(2), 115(2), 109, 303(2), 352 and 351(2)/3(5) of the B.N.S. , is put up for hearing today.
2. As per the FIR, prosecution case in brief is that on the basis of written application of the informant, Bhore P.S. Case No. 91 of 2026 has been lodged against petitioners. It is inter alia alleged in the F.I.R. that on the alleged date and time of occurrence, owing to the dispute of outstanding money, all the accused-petitioners came at the house of informant armed with lathi-danda and farsa and petitioner Balister Yadav inflicted farsa blow upon the informant's daughter namely Khushi and she sustained bleeding injury on her head and petitioner Kalister Yadav assaulted the informant's daughter Rubi by dragging her and she became unconscious and petitioner Kameshwar Yadav thrashed the Nikki and she sustained injury in her leg and chest. It is alleged that all the accused persons took away ear ring and chain from informant's daughter Rubi and they took out magal-sutra from the neck of informant forcefully and they were threatening to assault them.
3. It is submitted on behalf of accused/petitioners that they are innocent and have committed no offence and have been falsely implicated in this case. It is further submitted that all the accused persons are khas pkattidar and petitioners have been implicated in this case with a view to harass and humiliate them. It is further submitted that all the Sections areailable except Sec. 109 and 303(2) of the B.N.S., which have been added to make the case serious. It is lastly submitted that petitioners have got no criminal antecedent and they are in custody since 21-02-2026. On

Regular Bail Petition No. 194 of 2026

(Contd./12-03-2026)

such strength, prayer for bail has been made by the petitioners.

4. Heard ld. Counsel for the petitioners as well as ld. P.P. for the State. Ld. P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioners. During the course hearing of this bail matter, informant appeared along with ld. Counsel and opposed the bail prayer of the petitioners.

5. Having heard both the parties and perused the case record along with case diary. From the perusal of the F.I.R as well as case diary, it transpires that petitioners are named in the F.I.R. and there is allegation against petitioner Kameshwar Yadav that he has allegedly thrashed one Nikki and she sustained injury in her leg and chest, there is allegation against the petitioner Balister Yadav that he has allegedly inflicted farsa blow upon the informant's daughter namely Khushi and she sustained bleeding injury on her head and there is allegation against petitioner Kalister Yadav that he has allegedly assaulted the informant's daughter Rubi by dragging her and she became unconscious. Although, informant and witnesses at para-04, 08, 09, 10 and 11 of the case diary have supported the case of the prosecution but they have stated that occurrence has taken place between the parties due to the dispute of outstanding money. From perusal of the injury reports, it appears that injuries are found to be simple in nature caused by hard and blunt substance. There is no mention of any criminal antecedent of the petitioner in the case diary. The petitioners appear to be in custody since 21-02-2026.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of this case and considering the period of custody of the petitioners and without going into the merit of this case, this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioners and hence, the same is hereby allowed. Petitioners are directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of theld. Court below *subject to conditions that one of the bailors shall be close relative of the petitioners and one shall be local and petitioners will give an undertaking that they shall not threat the informant, they shall not temper with the evidence and they shall co-operate in the investigation/trial.*

Let a copy of this order along with lower court record be sent to the ld. Lower court for information and needful.

(Dictated)

(Geeta Gupta)

Principal Sessions Judge, Gopalganj.