

In the Court of District & Addl. Sessions Judge-I, Gopalganj

B.P. No. 191/2026

Dinanath Chaubey :-----Petitioner

V r s

The State of Bihar :----- Opposite party

Counsel for the petitioner :----- Sri Rabindra Singh, Advocate

Counsel for the Opposite party :----- Sri Deobansh Giri, P.P.

06.03.2026	Order	Remarks
	<p>Bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioner namely Dinanath Chaubey, who is in judicial custody since 20-02-2026 in connection with Bishambharpur P.S. Case No. 235/2025, registered U/s 80, 238, 61(2)/3(5) BNS & 3/4 D.P. Act is put up for hearing.</p> <p>The prosecution case, in brief, is that informant solemnized his daughter marriage with one Amit Chaubey. Allegation against the petitioner and other co-accused is that they have murdered the informant's daughter for the demand of dowry. Hence, the F.I.R.</p> <p>Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner argued that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. Learned counsel further argued that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent. Learned counsel further argued that earlier anticipatory bail application was filed before this Ld. Court but the same has been withdrawn. With these arguments learned counsel for the petitioner prayed for grant of regular bail to the petitioner.</p> <p>Per contra, Ld. P.P. for the State opposed the bail prayer of the petitioner.</p> <p>Heard both the parties and perused the case diary. The informant has registered FIR that his daughter Ansu Kumari was murdered in her in-laws house for want of dowry. The petitioner is in-laws of the deceased and the allegation against the petitioner</p>	

<p><u>Contd.</u> <u>06.03.2026</u></p>	<p>along with other co-accused are that they have committed murder of the deceased which is said to be got marriage with one co-accused Amit Chaubey. On perusal of the case diary, it transpires that inquest-report is mentioned in para 2. Informant in his restatement in para 4 and all the witnesses have supported the case of the prosecution as per paragraph no. 4, 5 & 6 of the case diary. On perusal of Postmortem Report, injury of the deceased shows contused frontal region, fractured frontal bone, subdural haemotoma present in frontoparietal region of size 4inch x 3inch & contusion in buccal cavity and also indicates the unnatural death caused by head injury caused by impact of hard and blunt substance. The offence alleged is grave and serious in nature and related to the death of a married woman within her matrimonial home. Investigation is still pending. If the petitioner is released on bail then there is chance of tempering with the evidence.</p> <p>Keeping in view the seriousness of the offence, I am not inclined to grant the petitioner on regular bail. Accordingly, regular bail petition of the petitioner is hereby rejected.</p> <p>(Dictated)</p> <p>(Kailash Joshi) A.S.J-I</p>	
--	---	--

