

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE
DISTRICT-GOPALGANJ

Regular Bail Petition No. 183 of 2026

(Arising out of Gopalpur P.S. Case No. 63 of 2026, registered U/S 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 118(1), 109, 303(2), 351(2) and 352/3(5) of the B.N.S.)

In the matter of :-

Name of the petitioner : Anuj Rawat.
F.I.R. U/S : 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 118(1), 109, 303(2), 351(2)
and 352/3(5) of the B.N.S.
Charge-Sheet U/S : Not Submitted.
Counsel for the Petitioner : Shri Khajanchi Mishra, Id. Adv.
Counsel for the State : Shri Deo Vansh Giri, Id. P.P.

ORDER

01-04-2026

1. This Bail Application filed on behalf of above named accused-petitioner, who is in judicial custody since 18-02-2026, in connection with Gopalpur P.S. Case No. 63 of 2026, registered U/S 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 118(1), 109, 303(2), 351(2) and 352/3(5) of the B.N.S., is put up for hearing today.

2. As per the FIR, prosecution case in brief is that on the basis of written application of the informant, Gopalpur P.S. Case No. 63 of 2026 has been lodged against the petitioner and others. It is inter alia alleged in the F.I.R. that on 15-02-2026 at about 05:00 pm, informant's sister was returning back to his house from the fair. In the meanwhile, due to dispute of riding motorcycle very fast, altercation took place between the informant's sister and petitioner and at that time, petitioner went away from there. After that petitioner along with other accused persons armed with lathi-danda and rod came at the door of informant and started assaulted her brother-in-law very severely and he sustained bleeding injury. Having seen the incident, informant went to intervened, accused Dhaneshwar Rawat caught her arm and accused Dhananjay Rawat and this petitioner inflicted lathi blow on her head and she sustained bleeding injury and she fell down and accused Dhaneshwar Rawat snatched away gold Mangal-sutra and mobile with intention to commit theft. It is alleged that having seen the incident, Durgawati Devi came to save the informant, petitioner slammed her down and snatched her gold-nose pin and while going they threatened to kill them if any case was lodged.

3. It is submitted on behalf of accused/petitioner that he is innocent and has committed no offence and has been falsely implicated in this case. It is further submitted that both the parties are pattidar and

neighbour and there is family dispute between the parties. It is lastly

(2/2)

Regular Bail Petition No. 183 of 2026

(Contd./01-04-2026)

submitted that petitioner has got no criminal antecedent and he is in custody since 18-02-2026. On such strength, prayer for bail has been made by the petitioner.

4. Heard ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner as well as ld. P.P. for the State. Ld. P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

5. Having heard both the parties and perused the case record along with case diary. From the perusal of the F.I.R as well as case diary, it transpires that although, petitioner is named in the F.I.R. but there is no specific allegation against him rather the allegation of committing theft of gold-nose pin from one Durgawati is general and omnibus. Though, informant and witnesses at para-02, 07 and 08 of the case diary have supported the case of the prosecution but they have not stated any specific against the petitioner. Para-17 of the case diary shows that petitioner was caught by the police. Para-30 of the case diary shows that petitioner has got no criminal antecedent. From perusal of the injury reports of the injured persons, it appears that injuries are found to be simple in nature. Independent witnesses at para-41 and 42 of the case diary have supported the case of the prosecution and they have stated that due to the quarrel between the children, occurrence has taken place between the parties. The petitioner appears to be in custody since 18-02-2026.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of this case and considering the period of custody of the petitioner, this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner and hence, the same is hereby allowed. Petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of theld. Court below subject to conditions that **one of the bailors shall be close relative of the petitioner and one shall be local with further condition that petitioner will file an undertaking that he will cooperate in the investigation or trial and he will not temper with the evidence.**

Let a copy of this order be sent to the ld. Lower court for information and needful.

(Dictated)

(Geeta Gupta)
Principal Sessions Judge, Gopalganj.