

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE
DISTRICT-GOPALGANJ

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 943 of 2026

(Arising out of Kateya P.S. Case No. 192 of 2026, registered U/S 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109(1), 352 and 351(2)/3(5) of the B.N.S.)

In the matter of :-

Name of the petitioners	:1.) Prince Kumar Gupta, 2.) Shiv Chand Gupta and 3.) Gyan Chand Gupta.
F.I.R. U/S	: 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109(1), 352 and 351(2)/3(5) of the B.N.S.
Charge-Sheet U/S	: Not submitted
Counsel for the Petitioners	: Shri Umesh Chaurasiya, Id. Adv.
Counsel for the State	: Shri Deo Vansh Giri, Id. P.P.

O r d e r.

22-05-2026

1. This Anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of above named accused-petitioners, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Kateya P.S. Case No. 192 of 2026, registered U/S 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109(1), 352 and 351(2)/3(5) of the B.N.S., is put up for hearing.

2. As per the F.I.R., the prosecution case in brief is that on the basis of written application of the informant, Kateya P.S. Case No. 192 of 2026 has been lodged against the petitioners and other. It is inter alia alleged in the F.I.R. that on 03-04-2026 at about 06:30 pm, informant was at his house. In the meanwhile, petitioner Prince Kumar Gupta came at his door and he was abusing informant's wife and owing to the dispute between the children, petitioners Shiv Chand Gupta and Gyan Chand Gupta slammed him down and started assaulting him. Meanwhile, Prince Kumar Gupta armed with dab attacked upon with dab and he sustained bleeding injury on his head. It is alleged that petitioner Shiv Chand Gupta with intention theft gold chain from the informant. All the accused persons assaulted the informant with fists and slaps and he sustained internal injury.

3. It is submitted on behalf of accused/petitioners that they are innocent and have committed no offence and have been falsely implicated in this case. It is further submitted that only petitioner no. 3 Gyan Chand Gupta has got one criminal antecedent, in which he is on bail. It is further submitted that all the alleged Sections are bailable except Sec. 109 B.N.S. It is further submitted that the case has been compromised between the parties and a compromise petitioner is filed. It is lastly submitted that petitioners are next door neighbour and this case has been filed due to some altercation raised between the children of the family. On such strength, prayer for bail has been made by the petitioners.

4. Heard Id. Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners as well as Id. P.P. for the State. Id. P.P. has opposed the prayer for bail of the accused-petitioners. During the course hearing, informant appeared before the court and filed an affidavit and supported the fact of compromise between the parties.

(2/2)

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 943 of 2026

(Contd./22-05-2026)

5. Having heard both the parties and perused the case record. From the perusal of the F.I.R. as well as case record, it transpires that petitioners are named in the F.I.R. and there is allegation against petitioner Prince Kumar Gupta that he has allegedly inflicted a blow upon the informant and he sustained bleeding injury on his head and there is allegation against petitioner Shiv Chand Gupta that he has allegedly stolen his gold-chain from the informant and there is no specific allegation against petitioner Gyan Chand Gupta. From perusal of the record, it appears that the case has been compromised between the parties. During the course of hearing, informant appeared before this court and filed an affidavit stating therein that both the parties are neighbour and some altercation took place between the parties and he has stated in the affidavit that light assault took place in between them and they sustained minor injury and he further stated that he has exaggerated the incident. Ld. Counsel for the petitioners has disclosed in the anticipatory bail application that only petitioner Gyan Chand Gupta has got one criminal antecedent.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of this case, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is allowed. It is accordingly ordered that in the event of arrest or surrender before the ld. Court-below within 30 days from the date of this order, the above named petitioners shall be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of ld. Court-below subject to conditions as laid down U/S 482(2) B.N.S.S. **subject to conditions that one of the bailers shall be close relative of the petitioners and one shall be local with further condition that petitioners will give an undertaking that they shall co-operate in the investigation/trial and they shall not temper with the witnesses or evidence.**

Let a copy of this order along with lower court record be sent to the ld. Lower court for information and needful.

(Dictated)

(Geeta Gupta)
Principal Sessions Judge, Gopalganj.