

**In the Court of District & Addl. Sessions Judge-VI-cum-Spl.
Judge POCSO, Gopalganj.**

B.P. No. 143 of 2026

In the matter of :-

Mohammad Sameer @ Sameer Alam, :-----**Petitioner.**

Versus.

The State of Bihar :-----**Opposite Party.**

Ld. Counsel for the Petitioner :- Sri Naveen Chandra Singh, Adv.

Ld. Counsel for the State :- Sri Daroga Singh, Spl. P.P.

Date of order- 12th of March, 2026

Present :- Pankaj Chandra Verma.

1. Bail Application filed on behalf of above-mentioned accused petitioner, who is in custody since 21.01.2026 in connection with Kateya P.S. Case No.-698 of 2025, registered under Section 96 of B.N.S., is put up for hearing today.

2. Heard the parties and perused the record.

3. Ld. defence counsel submitted to the effect that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence and prosecution story is totally false, fabricated and petitioner bears clean criminal antecedent. Further submitted that petitioner neither induced the victim nor had any connection with her in the manner as alleged. In the given circumstances, as further submitted, the petitioner may kindly be admitted to the privilege of bail.

4. Ld. P.P. for the State opposed the prayer of bail of petitioner.

5. As per FIR, prosecution case is that on the alleged date and time of occurrence Mohammad Sameer enticed and kidnapped minor daughter of informant. Earlier, Mohammad Sameer threatened to kidnapped minor daughter of informant. Hence, the FIR.

6. Heard and perused the case record. Allegation against this petitioner is that he kidnapped minor daughter of informant. In Para Nos. 4, 5, 6 and 7 of case diary contain re-statement of infor-

**IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE-VI-CUM-SPL.
JUDGE POCSO, GOPALGANJ.**

B.P. No. 143 of 2026

Present : Pankaj Chandra Verma,
Date of Order: 12.03.2026

mant and statement of witness, in which they have supported the allegation made in F.I.R. In course of investigation victim was recovered her statements u/s 180 and 183 of B.N.S.S. have been recorded, in which victim has stated that she went on her own free will with accused. In Para No. 22 of case diary contain mark-sheet of Class-X of victim, in which date of birth of victim is mentioned as 29.05.2009, from which victim is aged about 16 years. Though, victim has stated against the allegation of prosecution, but being a minor her opinion has no value in the eyes of law at this stage. Investigation of this case is completed and upon finding the case true charge-sheet has been submitted before the Court under the provision of POCSO Act. This Court does not find case of this petitioner fit for grant of bail.

7. Considering the said circumstances, do not find it fit to admit the petitioner/accused to the privilege of bail. Accordingly, bail prayer of petitioner/accused is hereby ***rejected***.

8. Office is directed to send a copy of this order to the Ld. Lower court.

(Dictated)

Sd/-
(Pankaj Chandra Verma)
District & Addl. Sessions Judge-VI
-cum-Spl. Judge POCSO,
Gopalganj.

Date of Judgment/Order	12.03.26
Date of Reserving Judgment/Order	N/A
Uploading Date	19.03.26
Uploaded by	G.G. (Stenographer)