

In the Court of District & Addl. Sessions Judge-I, GopalganjA.B.P. No. 387/2026

1- Saket Kumar@ Bhulan :-----Petitioner

V r s

The State of Bihar :----- Opposite party

Counsel for the petitioner :----- Sri Ramnath Sahu, Advocate

Counsel for the Opposite party :----- Sri Deobansh Giri, P.P.

23.04.2026	Order	Remarks
	Anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioner namely Saket Kumar@ Bhulan, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Barauli P.S. Case No. 215/2022, registered U/s 366(A) IPC is put up for hearing. The above case was registered on the written application of Sunil Kumar Yadav that on 23.05.2022 her sister was found missing from the house. When the informant checked his sister's mobile call log, he found repeated call of Saket Kumar and Sribhagwan Singh. It is alleged that both the aforementioned persons had kidnapped his sister. Hence, the present F.I.R. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner argued that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. Learned counsel further argued that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent. Learned Counsel further argued that the prosecution story is totally false, fabricated and concocted. With these arguments learned counsel for the petitioner prayed for grant of regular bail to the petitioner. Ld. P.P. for the State opposed the bail prayer of the petitioners. Heard both the parties and perused the record. As mentioned in para 56 of case diary, the victim in her statement recorded under section 164 Cr.P.C. has categorically alleged that the petitioner kept her in wrongful confinement for a period of seven days. It is further alleged that thereafter he sold her to another person and accepted four month's salary in advance. The victim has also made specific allegations of forcible sexual relations against the petitioner. Therefore, at this score it is not a fit case for grant of	

<u>Contd.</u> <u>23.04.2026</u>	anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly anticipatory bail of the petitioner stands rejected with direction to surrender before the Ld. court below, where both parties can put their plea of compromise and Ld. court below shall be at liberty to pass an appropriate order in accordance with law, without being prejudiced by this order. (Dictated) (Sanjeev Kumar Singh) A.S.J-I	
--	---	--