

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE
DISTRICT-GOPALGANJ

Regular Bail Petition No. 116 of 2026

(Arising out of Gopalganj P.S. Case No. 822 of 2025 registered U/S 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 303(2), 109 and 117(2)/3(5) of the B.N.S.)

In the matter of :-

Name of the petitioner	: Atul Patawa @ Atul Kumar.
F.I.R. U/S	: 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 303(2), 109 and 117(2)/3(5) of the B.N.S.
Charge-Sheet U/S	: not submitted.
Counsel for the Petitioner	: Shri Ajay Kumar, Id. Adv.
Counsel for the State	: Shri Deo Vansh Giri, Id. P.P.

ORDER

12-03-2026

1. This Bail Application filed on behalf of above named accused-petitioner, who is in judicial custody since 07-02-2026, in connection with Gopalganj P.S. Case No. 822 of 2025 registered U/S 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 303(2), 109 and 117(2)/3(5) of the B.N.S., is put up for hearing today.

2. As per the FIR, prosecution case in brief is that on the basis of written application of the informant, Gopalganj P.S. Case No. 822 of 2025 has been lodged against the petitioner and others. It is inter alia alleged in the F.I.R. that on dated 07-11-2025 at about 06:00 pm, informant along with her sons went to Basdila Market for purchasing some goods. In the meanwhile, petitioner along with other accused persons and 5-6 unknown persons started pushing the informant and when informant made objection, they snatched her gold chain and purse containing Rs. 8000/-. When informant started raising alarm, her sons namely Rajit Kumar and Vishal Kumar came there, accused Pankaj Kumar inflicted knife on the head, abdomen, back and hand of Vishal Kumar and he sustained bleeding injuries and accused Atul Patawa inflicted rod blow on the head and eye of Ranjeet Kumar and he sustained bleeding injury and cut injury near his eye. It is alleged that accused Shahil and Champion armed with knife started assaulting the both the sons of informant. When informant went to save them, accused Champion slammed her down by catching her hair and inflicted knife blow and he sustained injury in his hand. It is alleged that other unknown 5-6 accused persons armed with iron rod assaulted the informant and her sons and they sustained injuries and her son sustained fracture injury in his hand.

3. It is submitted on behalf of accused/petitioner that he is innocent and has committed no offence and has been falsely implicated in this case. It is further submitted that the allegations made in the F.I.R. are

frivolous and baseless and do not make out any prima facie case against

(2/2)

Regular Bail Petition No. 116 of 2026

(Contd./12-03-2026)

the petitioner. It is further submitted that petitioner has no direct or indirect involvement in the alleged offence and the same has been lodged merely to harass the petitioner. It is further submitted that there is no recovery made from the petitioner. It is lastly submitted that petitioner has got three criminal antecedent of Excise Act and he is in custody since 07-02-2026. On such strength, prayer for bail has been made by the petitioner.

4. Heard ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner as well as ld. P.P. for the State. Ld. P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

5. Having heard both the parties and perused the case record along with case diary. From the perusal of the F.I.R as well as case diary, it transpires that petitioner is named in the F.I.R. against whom there is allegation that he has allegedly inflicted iron-rod blow on the head and eye of informant's son namely Ranjeet Kumar and he sustained cut injury near his eye and bleeding injury. Informant and witnesses at para-04, 05, 06 and 07 of the case diary have repeated the version of the prosecution. From perusal of the injury reports, it appears that injury report of injured Ranjit Kumar is found to be simple in nature, who has been injured by this petitioner. Though, para-67 of the case diary shows that petitioner has got one criminal antecedent but ld. Counsel for the petitioner has disclosed in the bail application that petitioner has got three criminal antecedent of excise act. The petitioner appears to be in custody since 07-02-2026.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of this case and considering the period of custody of the petitioner and without going into the merit of the case, this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner and hence, the same is hereby allowed. Petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of the ld. Court below **subject to conditions that one of the bailors shall be close relative of the petitioner and one shall be local with further condition that petitioner will file an undertaking that he will not threat the informant, he will cooperate in the investigation or trial and he will not temper with the evidence.**

Let a copy of this order along with lower court record be sent to the ld. Lower court for information and needful.

(Dictated)

(Geeta Gupta)
Principal Sessions Judge, Gopalganj.