

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE-I, GAYA
ANTICIPATORY BAIL PETITION No. 4624/2025

=====

Lalu Chaudhary @ Rajbindu Kumar S/o Amirak Chaudhary
R/o Village- Pir Bigha, P.S.- Chakand, District- Gaya
VERSUS

----- Petitioner

The State of Bihar

----- Opposite Party

=====

13.04.2026

An anticipatory bail application has been filed on behalf of above named accused/petitioner, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with **Chakand P.S. Case No. 311/2025**, for the offences registered **U/s. 191(2), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 109, 352, 351(3) of the BNS, pending in the Court of Ld. C.J.M., Gaya.**

Heard both the sides on the bail application.

Learned defence counsel, submitted that prior to this, the petitioner has not filed either anticipatory or regular bail petition before this Court or the Hon'ble Patna High Court. It is further submitted that petitioner is innocent, committed no offence and has falsely been implicated in this case. The real fact is that some minor children from both villagers got into a scuffle while playing, resulting in simple injuries on both sides, but driven by village politics and caste prejudice fabricated a story registered false F.I.R. against the elders of the petitioner. Other co-accused persons have already been granted bail by the Ld. Court of A.C.J.M.-V, Gaya on dated 26.11.2025. Lastly, he submitted that the petitioner having no criminal antecedent. Hence, it is prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the accused petitioner.

Per contra the Ld. A.P.P. appeared on behalf of State opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Prosecution case, in brief, as per informant is that on 03.11.2025 at about 11.15 A.M. he was working in his poultry form, in the meantime petitioner along with other co-accused persons came in group yielding rod and sticks in their hands with intention to kill him and after asking the name of the village, accused petitioner attacked upon the informant with iron rod, due to which he received injuries. When Sudhir Kumar came on hearing the alarm of the informant, the accused persons assaulted him too. Then they fled from spot while issuing threat to set the poultry farm in fire.

Heard both the parties and perused the material available on record. From perusal, it appears that petitioner is named in the F.I.R. The allegation against the petitioner is that he along with other co-accused persons assaulted the informant and one other and caused them injuries. The injury report of informant is available at para 53 of the case diary, which has been found clinically simple in nature. Vide para 50 of the case diary, no criminal antecedent was found against the accused petitioner. Vide para 26 of the case diary, four co-accused persons were granted bail from the Ld. Trial Court on being surrendered vide order dated 26.11.2025.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and other materials on record, this court thinks it fit to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, it is directed that in the event of his arrest or surrender before the Ld. Court below within a month from the date of this order, the petitioner shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of like amount each. This direction for anticipatory bail is subject to all the conditions stipulated u/s. 482 of BNSS.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Shashi Kant Ojha)
Addl. Sessions Judge-I, Gaya
13.04.2026