

In the Court of Addl. Sessions Judge – XIII, Gaya

B.P. No. 1415/2026 (S.J)

[Arising out of Tankuppa P.S. Case No. 28 of 2026]

Lala Yadav @ Lalan Yadav Vs. State of Bihar

Advocate for Petitioner - Sri Anil Kumar, Ld. Advocate

Advocate for State - Ld. A.P.P.

Present - Kumar Kartikey Shahi

Addl. Sessions Judge–XIII, Gaya

ORDER

12.08.2026

1. Present bail petition has been filed on behalf of accused person namely **1. Lala Yadav @ Lalan Yadav** who is in custody in connection with Tankuppa P.S. Case No. 28/2026 since **14.05.2026** wherein he was punishable for committing offences under sections 191(2), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 74, 329(4), 117(2), 109, 303(2), 352, 351(2) of B.N.S. and the same has been put up for hearing. Heard Ld. Counsel Sri Anil Kumar appearing on behalf of accused/petitioner and Ld. A.P.P. for the State.

Here the petitioner has contended in Para – 2 of the petition that earlier no regular bail petition on behalf of the petitioner was filed and moved either before honours court or before any higher court but an anticipatory bail petition on behalf of the petitioner was filed and moved before honours court in the meantime he has been arrested due to this reason his anticipatory bail petition withdraw.

Story of the prosecution

2. The prosecution story in brief is that informant Akhilesh Kumar said that on 26.01.2026 at about 6:30 PM, Pappu Yadav, Dilraj Kumar, Lala Yadav, Arvind Yadav, Upendra Yadav, Krishna Yadav, Vikki Kumar, Mudrika Yadav came at his house and start abusing-assaulting and accused Lala Yadav assaulted him by rod with intention to kill from which he received injury on his left hand in the meantime on hulla his wife Gudiya Devi came to then Pappu Yadav caught her hair and pushed her and assaulted her by rod. It is further alleged then his brother Rakesh Yadav, nephew Vipin Kumar came to save him then accused persons also assaulted them and entered his house and try to outrage her modesty and snatched earring.

Argument on behalf of accused/petitioner

3. The Learned counsel appearing on behalf of accused/petitioner has submitted that petitioner has one antecedent i.e. Tankuppa P.S Case no. 50/2026 U/s- 302 of IPC in which he is on bail. It is further submitted that a bail petition on behalf of the petitioner was filed and moved before learned A.C.J.M IV who was pleased to rejected the same. It is further submitted that both the parties are gotiyas and there is an enemical terms with them. It is further submitted that in this case accused Mundrika Yadav has also been enlarged on bail by the Court learned Sessions Judge XIII, Gaya Jee vide B.P No. 349/2026 dated 16.03.2026. It is further submitted that petitioner is ready to offer a reasonable amount of sureties to the satisfaction of the honours court.

In the Court of Addl. Sessions Judge – XIII, Gaya
B.P. No. 1415/2026 (S.J)
[Arising out of Tankuppa P.S. Case No. 28 of 2026]
Lala Yadav @ Lalan Yadav Vs. State of Bihar

Argument on behalf of State

4. On the other hand, the learned A.P.P. appearing for the State has opposed the prayer of bail petition and has prayed to reject the regular bail petition.

Discussion

5. Heard both sides and perused the case diary as well as L.C.R. From perusal it is evident that the F.I.R has been registered under sections 191(2), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 74, 329(4), 117(2), 109, 303(2), 352, 351(2) of B.N.S. Both sides are gotiya and there was fight between them. There is case and counter-case. Police have completed the investigation and submitted the Charge-sheet and cognizance has also been taken by learned Court below. Injuries are simple in nature and have been caused by hard and blunt substance. Petitioner has been in custody since 14.05.2026.

Conclusion

6. Under these facts and circumstances, I am inclined to **grant** the bail to the accused/petitioner **Lala Yadav @ Lalan Yadav**.

Accordingly, it is directed that the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousands) with two sureties of the like amount each, subject to the conditions that:-

- (i) One bailor will be family member of the petitioner.
- (ii) Petitioner will present physically till framing of charge.
- (iii) Petitioner will not be involved in similar nature of offence and if the petitioner is found involved in similar nature of offence after his release on bail, the court below shall take steps to cancel the bail bond.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

Kumar Kartikey Shahi
Addl. Sessions Judge – XIII, Gaya Jee