

**IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE-XI, GAYA JI**

**B.P. No. 1414/2026**

**(Ref:- Arising out of Mufassil P.S Case No. 1240/2023 )**

-----  
---

**(Manish Kumar Vs. State of Bihar)**

**Present:- Ms. Pratigya Vyas**

**District & Addl. Sessions Judge-XI, Gaya Ji**

**Date of order :-03-08-2026**

**Ld. Counsel for the petitioner :- Sri Rajnish Kumar Singh, Adv.**

**Ld. Counsel for the O.P. :- Addl. P.P.**

**ORDER**

The present bail petition has been filed on behalf of above named accused/petitioner **Manish Kumar** who is in custody since 09-07-2026 in connection with above mentioned case registered u/s 406, 420 of IPC and the same has been put up for hearing.

Heard Ld. Counsel Sri Rajnish Kumar Singh appearing on behalf of accused/petitioner and A.P.P. for the State.

As per F.I.R., prosecution case in brief is that informant alleged that an agreement was made between her and the petitioner for purchasing of a land and the rate was finalized at Rs. 21,70,000/- which she had gave Rs. 7,60,000/-. it is further alleged that the petitioner tampered the date mentioned on the agreement paper for registry due to which she

**IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE-XI, GAYA JJ**

**B.P. No. 1414/2026**

**(Ref:- Arising out of Mufassil P.S Case No. 1240/2023 )**

-----  
---  
demanded her money back on which upon the petitioner has returned her Rs. 1,94,500/- and thereafter she sent a legal notice through civil court then he gave Rs. 30,000/- and again she demanded for rest of the amount and when she demanded her money then the petitioner started abusing and gave threatening to kill her. Then FIR was lodged.

The Ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of accused/petitioner submitted that The petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. Lastly, he submitted that the petitioner is languishing in custody since 09-07-2026.

The A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the state opposes the bail petition.

Heard both sides and perused the case record. From perusal of the case record, it appears that petitioner is named in FIR. Allegation against him is that he entered into agreement with complainant to sale a piece of land and received Rs.7,60,000/- in advance but not executed sale deed and returned only Rs. 2,24,500/-. Charge sheet has been submitted against petitioner. Ld. Counsel on behalf of informant is also appeared before the court who agreed to enlarge petition on provisional bail if he handed over demand draft of Rs. 3 lakhs at the time of furnishing bail bond and after payment of Rs. 2 lakhs within 30 days of furnishing of bail bond his

**IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE-XI, GAYA JI**

**B.P. No. 1414/2026**

**(Ref:- Arising out of Mufassil P.S Case No. 1240/2023 )**

-----  
---  
provisional bail may be conformed. Ld. Counsel of petitioner agreed on these terms.

Thus considering above discussed facts, this bail petition is **provisionally allowed**. Accused/Petitioner is directed to be released on bail, on furnishing of bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned court below with condition that his bail bond will be accepted only after handing over of demand draft of Rs. 3 lakhs to informant.

Ld. Court below is further directed to confirm the provisional bail if petitioner paid Rs. 2 lakhs to informant within 30 days of furnishing of bail bond. Petitioner will be bound by the condition mentioned under section 437(3) Cr.P.C.

(Dictated and corrected)

**Sd/-**

**(Pratigya Vyas)**

**District & Additional Sessions Judge XI, Gaya Ji**

**IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE-XI, GAYA JI**

**B.P. No. 1414/2026**

**(Ref:- Arising out of Mufassil P.S Case No. 1240/2023 )**

-----  
---