

In the Court of Rajesh Pandey, Additional Sessions Judge-XII, Gaya Jee
A.B.P. No. 3020 of 2026
(Arising out of Muffasil P.S. Case No. 445/2026)
[Pankaj Yadav @ Pankaj Kumar & Others Vs. The State of Bihar]

In the matter of :-

1. Pankaj Yadav @ Pankaj Kumar, aged about 19 years, S/o Chanarik Yadav @ Chandrika Yadav,
2. Anil Yadav @ Anil Kumar, aged about 22 years, S/o Chanarik Yadav @ Chandrika Yadav,
3. Fantush Yadav @ Fantush Kumar, aged about 20 years, S/o Umesh Yadav,
4. Sonu Yadav @ Sonu Kumar, aged about 24 years, S/o Ashish Yadav @ Ramashish Yadav, All R/o Village- Sohaipur, P.S. Muffasil, Distt.- Gaya Jee.....Petitioners.

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.....Opp. Party.

Ld. counsel for petitioners:- Sri Ajay Kumar & Naveen Kumar (Ld. Advocates),
Ld. counsel for State:- Ld. A.P.P.

Present:- Rajesh Pandey
Addl. Sessions Judge-XII, Gaya Jee

ORDER

18.08.2026

This is an application for grant of Anticipatory Bail filed on behalf of the petitioners who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Muffasil P.S. Case No. 445/2026, registered u/s 115(2), 125, 303(2), 352, 351(2), 329(3), 324(2), 3(5) of B.N.S., which is pending in the court of Ld. C.J.M., Gaya Jee.

Prosecution case, in brief is that on 17.04.2026 in the informant's field, green vegetables were planted and the petitioner Chanarika Yadav's 4-5 buffaloes were grazing in it and on forbade the the petitioners alongwith his family members started to abuse and assaulted with rod, lathi-danda and brick with intention to kill him due to which he sustained head injury. It is further alleged when his son came to save him, they also assaulted to him with lathi-danda and snatched golden locket from the informant's neck which worth Rs. 45,000/- and took out Rs. 2,000/- from his pocket and also threatened to kill him.

Ld. Counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case. Petitioners have no criminal antecedent. Later on a petition dated 17.08.2026 filed on behalf of petitioners submitting that petitioners have one criminal antecedent i.e. Muffasil P.S. Case No. 421/2026. It has been further submitted that earlier no any anticipatory or regular bail application has been filed or moved on behalf of the petitioners either before this court or before any higher court. It has been further submitted that the petitioners have committed no any offence in the FIR. It has been further submitted that there is no specific allegation for assault and theft to the petitioners. It has been further submitted that the petitioners name came in this case by the conspiracy to the village politics then informant

In the Court of Rajesh Pandey, Additional Sessions Judge-XII, Gaya Jee
A.B.P. No. 3020 of 2026
(Arising out of Muffasil P.S. Case No. 445/2026)
[Pankaj Yadav @ Pankaj Kumar & Others Vs. The State of Bihar]

has false statement given in the fardbeyan. It has been further submitted that there is no chance of the petitioners to abscond or tamper with the evidence and prayed to enlarge the petitioners on bail.

The Ld. A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the bail of the petitioners.

Heard the Ld. counsel for the petitioners as well as Ld. A.P.P. for the State and perused the record. From perusal of the record, it transpires that this case has been registered u/s 115(2), 125, 303(2), 352, 351(2), 329(3), 324(2), 3(5) of B.N.S. of B.N.S., against the petitioners. It is apparent from the bare reading of FIR itself that there is omnibus allegations against the petitioners rather than specific. It is evident from the case diary that no incriminating article was recovered from the possession of these petitioners. It is evident from the FIR itself that the fall out of the occurrence was stated to be dispute relating to day to day affair. No injury report is enclosed with the case diary.

Considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case, submission of the parties, material available on the record, I find it prudent to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail. Hence, their prayer for anticipatory bail is hereby, **allowed**. They are directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of **Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand)** with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Court below in connection with Muffasil P.S. Case No. 445/2026, subject to the conditions as laid down under section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S, in the event of arrest or surrender before the Ld. Court below within four weeks from the date of receipt of this order. Subject to the condition that one of the bailors must be co-villager of the petitioners and petitioners must file affidavit with regard to the fact that they will not indulge them in any offence of similar in nature.

(Dictated & Corrected)

Sd/-

(Rajesh Pandey)

Addl. Sessions Judge-XII, Gaya Jee

Date of Judgment/Order	18.08.2026
Date of Reserving Judgment/Order	N/A
Uploading Date	29.08.2026
Uploaded by	Steno(Ranjeet Kumar)