

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-I, GAYA
ANTICIPATORY BAIL PETITION No. 3013/2026

=====

Vijay Kumar Verma @ Vijay Kumar S/o Late Rajendra Prasad
R/o Mohalla- Rajendra Nagar, Nawada, P.S.-Nawada, District- Nawada----- Petitioner
VERSUS

The State of Bihar

----- Opposite Party

=====

24.08.2026

An anticipatory bail application has been filed on behalf of above named accused/petitioner, who is apprehending his arrest, in connection with **Civil Lines P.S. Case No. 363/2019**, for the offences registered **U/s. 467, 468, 471, 420, 120B of the I.P.C., pending in the Court of Ld. A.C.J.M.-1, Gaya.**

2. Heard both the sides on the bail application.

3. Learned defence Counsel submitted that prior to this, the petitioner has not filed either anticipatory or regular bail before this Court or the Hon'ble Patna High Court. It is further submitted that petitioner is innocent, committed no offence and has falsely been implicated in this case. It is further submitted that petitioner was a computer operator posted at Registry Office, Gaya where he had got duty to receive the document to make entry of the same in the computer software and to generate check list only. The moment the petitioner generates the check list, the document is sent to the checking clerk who checks the same and thereafter the document is sent to the checking clerk who too checks the same and only then it is taken to the table of the District Sub-Registrar for Ekrar. Had the petitioner committed any interpolation in the document, it might have been detected by the checking Clerk, Head Clerk and even the District Sub-Registrar instantly. Other co-accused persons namely Rishikesh Deo and Amit Kumar Sinha have already been granted anticipatory bail by Hon'ble High Court, Patna vide Cri. Misc. No. 33726/20, dated 25.02.2021 and Cri. Misc. No. 34162/2020, dated 04.03.2021 respectively and the case of the petitioner stands on the same footing. Lastly he submitted that petitioner having no criminal antecedent. Hence, it is prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the accused petitioner.

4. Per contra the Ld. A.P.P. appeared on behalf of State opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

5. Prosecution case, in brief, as per informant is that accused persons including the petitioner in collusion with co-accused Shrawan Kumar has attempted to change the plot number in the registry office fraudulently.

6. Heard both the parties and perused the material available on record. From perusal, it appears that petitioner is named in the F.I.R. The allegation against the petitioner is that he along with other co-accused persons attempted to change the plot number in the registry office fraudulently. From perusal of case record, it transpires that the alleged sale deed is also on record and from perusal of sale deed as well as F.I.R. it also transpires that the boundary of the said land is not disputed and has also not manipulated/ changed. This matter is of civil nature. Petitioner claimed to have clean antecedent vide para 03 of his bail petition. Moreover anticipatory bail of other co-accused have already been granted by the Hon'ble High Court, Patna.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and other materials on record, this court thinks it fit to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, it is directed that in the event of his arrest or surrender before the Ld. Court below within a month from the date of this order, the petitioner shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of like amount each.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Shashi Kant Ojha)
Addl. Sessions Judge-1, Gaya
24.08.2026