

1
IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-III, GAYA
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 2963 of 2026

**[Arising Out of Magadh Medical P.S. Case No. 417/2022,
registered u/s 341,323,379,504,34 of IPC]**

Vipin Bihari and Ors. Vs. State of Bihar/

Advocate for Petitioner - Mr. Kamlesh Kumar, Ld. Advocate

Advocate for State - Mr. Banbari Prasad, Ld. Addl. PP.

**Present - Ajit Kumar Singh
Dist. & Addl. Sessions Judge–III,
Gaya**

ORDER

Date : 17.08.2026

This anticipatory bail petition has been pressed today for hearing on behalf of the petitioners namely **1. Vipin Bihari Singh @ Bipin Singh, 2. Rajeev Singh @ Rajiv Ranjan and 3. Rajesh Singh @ Raj Ranjan Singh Chauhan** who are apprehending their arrest in connection with this Magadh Medical P.S. Case No. 417/2022, registered u/s 341, 323, 379, 504, 34 of IPC.

The prosecution story based on written information of informant Aman Kumar Rathore in brief is that on 16.11.2022 at about 2:10 P.M., he along with his friends Anish and Nitish was going towards Nutan Nagar for coaching. When they reached near Koshdihra Gate, one car rashly turned towards Koshdihra under influence of liquor and a motorcyclist mistakenly dashed against the car. Thereafter, the named accused persons alighted from car and started assaulting motorcyclist with Lathi. When informant intervened and tried to save motorcyclist, the accused persons also assaulted him. It is further alleged that they snatched his mobile, cash and golden chain.

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-III, GAYA
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 2963 of 2026

Heard learned counsel Sri Kamlesh Kumar appearing on behalf of the accused/Petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State.

Apart from pleading innocence and false implication Ld. Counsel for the petitioners submits that allegation against petitioners are omnibus in nature.

The Ld. Counsel further submits that all sections are bailable except Section 379 of IPC. The Ld. Counsel further submits that this incident had arisen out of road rage incident and there was case and counter case.

In the light of said submissions Ld. Counsel for the petitioners prays for extending the protection of anticipatory bail.

The Ld. P.P has opposed the prayer of bail

Heard both the sides. Perused the record.

In the instant case all sections are bailable except Section 379 of IPC. Allegation with respect to snatching are not specific. In para 6 and 7 the witnesses have stated about the mobile to have fallen during scuffle.

Accordingly, in the event to their arrest or surrender before the Ld. Court below, the petitioners are directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of like amount each subject to condition as laid down u/s 482(2) of BNSS.

(Dictated)

Sd-

(Ajit Kumar Singh)

Dist. & Addl. Sessions Judge—III,
Gaya