

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-I, GAYAJI
BAIL PETITION No. 1306/2026
[Arising out of Muffassil P.S. Case No. 1244/2025]

=====

Raj Kumar Singh S/o Jugeshwar Singh
R/o Mohalla- Jail Press Colony Shashtri Nagar,
P.S.- Rampur, District- Gaya

----- Petitioner

VERSUS

The State of Bihar

----- Opposite Party

=====

14.08.2026

This bail application has been filed on behalf of the above named accused/petitioner, who is in judicial custody since 17.06.2026, in connection with ***Muffassil P.S. Case No. 1244/2025***, for the offences registered **U/s. 316(2), 318(2), 318(4) of the BNS, pending in the Court of Ld. C.J.M., Gayaji.**

2. Heard both the sides on the bail application.

3. Learned defence counsel, submitted that prior to this, the petitioner has not filed either anticipatory or regular bail petition before this Court or before the Hon'ble Patna High Court. It is further submitted that petitioner is innocent, committed no offence and has falsely been implicated in this case. It is further admitted in written report that any agreement was not made between the petitioner and informant nor any amount was given to the accused persons by him. Petitioner has purchased the land from the landlord and on that basis of the land mutated in the name of petitioner by order of LRDC, Sadar Gaya vide order dated 29.06.2022. The agreement was made between petitioner and K. Puja and as per para 04 and 05 of the agreement she has not paid full consideration amount and followed the condition as laid down in the agreement. Informant is nowhere in the sale and purchase of land and on the basis of cheque, he neither given legal notice nor informed the petitioner. Lastly he submitted that the petitioner has one criminal antecedent as SC/ST P.S. Case No. 06/2025 in which he is on bail and he is in judicial custody in this case since 16.06.2026. Hence, it is prayed to grant the bail to the accused petitioner.

4. Per contra the Ld. A.P.P. appeared on behalf of State duly assisted by Ld. Counsel for the informant opposed the prayer for bail and orally submitted that the accused petitioner is not owner of the alleged land and hence, informant parties want to refund their money.

5. Prosecution case, in brief, as per informant is that he along with K. Puja and other persons are the partners of Rani Construction and they have decided to take a big plot for making a house and office of each partners. For the said purpose, they met with accused petitioner and accused petitioner told them about a land of 12 katha at residential Mauja Bodh Gaya and they are ready to purchase the said land. Thereafter an agreement was made among the informant parties in which they have given authority/ power to informant and K. Puja for transaction of all money for purchasing the said land. Thereafter on 12.05.2021 an agreement was made between accused petitioner and K. Puja but accused petitioner and other co-accused persons did not allow them to talk to landloard, rather accused petitioners told them that the said land is their own. Informant parties gave total 2.5 crore to the accused petitioner and other co-accused through cash and other ways. On query, he got knowledge that the landlord of the said land has not received a single penny and there are several partners of the said land and accused petitioner did not agreement with the all partners. On checking through net, it was found that the said land registered in the name of Chandan Devi W/o Rajendra Singh. He further alleged that accused petitioner and other co-accused persons cheated of Rs. 2.5 Crore by way of showing forged and fabricated documents.

6. Heard both the parties and perused the material available on record. From perusal, it appears that petitioner is named in the F.I.R. There is allegation against the petitioners is that they along with other co-accused persons cheated of Rs. 2.5 Crore from the informant by way of showing forged and fabricated documents. On request of Ld. Advocate of both parties, accused petitioner Raj Kumar Singh has been produced from the Jail on production warrant and he filed an undertaking in which he stated that the above mentioned property is under his control and the principal land owner in confidence with him and he assured that the said land is bonafide. He also undertake that if Smt. K. Puja the agreement holder makes the payment rest of the amount of the consideration

amount as per the agreement, the land will be executed by the sale through the owners. He also undertakes that if Smt. K. Puja wish to take the refund of the payments made by till now then after the sale of the land in agreement will do so. Petitioner admitted to have one criminal antecedent vide para 03 of his bail petition related to SC/ST Case. Petitioner is in judicial custody since 17.06.2026.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, period of custody and undertaking filed by the accused petitioner, I think it fit to grant the bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, it is directed that the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each, subject to the conditions that:-

- (i) One of the bailors shall be a close relative of the petitioner.
- (ii) If accused petitioner did not comply his undertaking filed by himself before the Court within nine (09) months, then prosecution will be at liberty to file an application before the Ld. Trial Court for cancellation of his bail bond.
- (iii) He would not involved in any case except this case, otherwise prosecution will be at liberty to file an application before the Ld. Trial Court for cancellation of his bail bond.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Shashi Kant Ojha)
Addl. Sessions Judge-1, Gaya
14.08.2026