

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, GAYA****B.P. No. 1219/2026****Kanti Devi Vs. The State of Bihar****Chandauti P.S. Case No. 88/2026****02.07.2026****Present :**

For the petitioner --: Smt. Priyanka Kumari, Ld. Advocate

For the State-----: Sri S. B. Ojha, Ld. Public Prosecutor

The present petition for regular bail has been filed on behalf of petitioner Kanti Devi who is in judicial custody since 19.03.2026 in connection with Chandauti P.S. Case No. 88/2026 registered for the offences punishable under sections 103(1), 61(2), 3(5) of the BNS & 3/4 D.P Act.

Prosecution case, in brief, as per written report of the informant Ajay Mahto is that the marriage of his daughter namely Kajal Kumari was solemnized with Rahul Kumar. After the marriage, Rahul Kumar used to torture the informant's daughter physically and mentally. For this act, earlier an application was given to Mahila Police Station where the dispute was settled between the husband and wife. All of sudden, in the night the informant's daughter called on his phone and told that her husband along with his family members are brutally assaulting her. Further, at about 11:45 P.M., the informant got information that his daughter has been died thereafter they informed the police. It is further alleged that the informant has apprehension that accused persons have strangulated the informant's daughter.

Ld. counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is quite innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. Ld. counsel further submits that the petitioner is mother-in-law and she has no concern with alleged offence. It is further submitted that petitioner has never demanded any dowry but the petitioner has been made accused in this case under the conspiracy. The petitioner carries no criminal antecedent and she is lying in judicial custody since 19.03.2026.

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, GAYA**

**B.P. No. 1219/2026**

**Kanti Devi Vs. The State of Bihar**

**Chandauti P.S. Case No. 88/2026**

Ld. P.P. has also been heard in opposition to the prayer for bail.

I have considered the submissions as advanced by the parties and perused the materials available on the trial court record. The petitioner is mother-in-law of deceased and she is named in the FIR with said allegation that she along with her family members have tortured the informant's daughter physically and mentally and strangulated her. As per postmortem report which is available in the trial court record, the death caused due to Asphyxia, venous congestion and shock due to antemortem hanging. The petitioner having no criminal antecedent is lying in judicial custody since 19.03.2026. Chargesheet has been submitted in this case against the petitioner under Sections 80, 3(5) of the BNS.

In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and the gravity of the offence, this court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail at this stage. Accordingly, this bail petition stands **rejected**.

However, the petitioner shall be at liberty to renew her prayer for bail after framing of charges.

Further, it appears that cognizance has been taken against the petitioner vide order dated 30.06.2026, the Ld. Magistrate is directed to expedite the commitment process of this case.

(Dictated and corrected)

Sd/-  
(P. K. Malik)  
Sessions Judge, Gayaji  
02.07.2026