

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-I, GAYAJI
ANTICIPATORY BAIL PETITION No. 2122/2026

=====

1. Puja Devi W/o Kartik Dom

2. Kartik Dom S/o Ganesh Dom

Both R/o Village- Sanda, P.S.- Mau,

District- Gaya

----- Petitioners

VERSUS

The State of Bihar

----- Opposite Party

=====

18.06.2026

An anticipatory bail application has been filed on behalf of the above named accused/petitioners, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with ***Mau P.S. Case No. 45/2026***, for the offences registered U/s. **126(2), 115(2), 109, 303(2), 351(2), 352, 3(5) of the BNS, pending in the Court of Ms. Kavita Agrahari, Ld. J.M.F.C., Gaya.**

2. Heard both the sides on the bail application.

3. Learned defence counsel, submitted that prior to this, the petitioners have not filed either anticipatory or regular bail petition before this Court or before the Hon'ble Patna High Court. It is further submitted that petitioners are innocent, committed no offence and have falsely been implicated in this case. It is further submitted that case has been compromised between both the parties and certified copy of compromise petition has been filed. Lastly he submitted that petitioners have no criminal antecedent. Hence, it is prayed to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the accused petitioners.

4. Per contra the Ld. A.P.P. appeared on behalf of State opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail but he conceded that both parties have compromised this case outside the Court and compromise petition has been filed and on 02.06.2026 informant of this case physically appeared before the Court and admitted the factom of compromise.

5. Prosecution case, in brief, as per informant is that on 26.03.2026 at about 6.00 A.M. she felt that her golden earring lost anywhere. Then she started searching earrings in the meantime her daughter Shivani Kumari aged about 6 years told that she got her mother's earring but accused petitioners (gotini and gotini's husband) snatched from her possession. When informant went to the house of accused petitioners to ask her earring from them then they denied to give her and assaulted her with lathi, due to which she sustained head injuries and fell on the ground in unconscious condition. Accused petitioners also threatened her not to repeat the same kind of act, otherwise they will kill her.

6. Heard both the parties and perused the material available on record. From perusal, it appears that petitioners are named accused in the F.I.R. Allegation against the petitioner is of assaulting the informant and caused her injuries. Both parties have

compromised this case outside the Court and certified copy of compromise petition duly signed by both the parties has also been filed. On 02.06.2026 informant appeared before Court and admitted the factum of compromise and she also filed copy of aadhar card after endorsing her signature. Vide para 27 of the case diary, it has been mentioned that when Investigation officer went to the house of informant and asked her about receipt of medical treatment then informant told him that though she was referred to ANMMCH, Gaya for better treatment from the PHC, Tekari but she did not go to ANMMCH, Hospital rather she is taking medicine from the market. Petitioners claimed to have clean antecedent vide para 03 of their bail petition.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, other materials on record as well as the fact of compromise between the parties, this court thinks it fit to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, it is directed that in the event of their arrest or surrender before the Ld. Court below within a month from the date of this order, the petitioners shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of like amount each. This direction for anticipatory bail is subject to all conditions stipulated u/s. 482 of BNSS.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Shashi Kant Ojha)

Addl. Sessions Judge-1, Gaya

18.06.2026