

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, GAYAJI

ABP No. 2104/2026

Savita Devi Vs. The State of Bihar

Paraiya P.S. Case No. 114/2026

20.06.2026

Present :

For the petitioner ----: Sri Surendra Narain Singh, Ld. Advocate

For the State-----: Sri S. B. Ojha, Ld. P.P.

The present petition for anticipatory bail has been filed on behalf of the petitioner Savita Devi apprehending her arrest in connection with Paraiya P. S. Case No.114/2026 registered for the offences punishable U/ss 191(2), 191(3), 190, 126(2), 109, 352, 351(2), 132, 127(2), 324(4) of the BNS.

Prosecution case, in brief, as per typed report of the informant Sunita Kumari, SHO of the Paraiya Police Station is that on 18.04.2026 at about 18:10 Hrs., during course of vehicle checking, she got secret information that illegal mining of sand is being done in Morhar river situated at village-Baikatpur. On this information, the informant along with police officials reached at village-Baikatpur. Upon seeing the police party, the tractor driver carrying sand started fleeing away while unloading the tractor and ultimately, he left the tractor and succeeded to flee away. It is further alleged that a large number of villagers gathered and surrounded the police team and thereafter they started abusing and brick batting upon the police team causing injuries to police personnel and driver and also damaged the government vehicle and got released the tractor from the police party. It is further alleged that some accused persons were arrested and other accused persons

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, GAYAJI

ABP No. 2104/2026

Savita Devi Vs. The State of Bihar

Paraiya P.S. Case No. 114/2026

were identified later.

Ld. counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case due to dirty village politics. He further submits that the allegation levelled against petitioner is general and omnibus in nature. He further submits that there is no specific allegation against the petitioner and that, she was not caught on the spot.

He further submits that the petitioner having no criminal antecedents. He further submits that co-accused persons have been granted bail vide orders dated 03.06.2026 passed in B.P No.1038/2026, BP No. 998/2026, BP No. 1039/2026, BP No. 1060/2026. He further contends that the petitioner happens to be a female, hence the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner may be considered favourably.

Ld. P.P. has also been heard in opposition to the prayer for bail.

I have perused the case record as well as case diary along with the orders as mentioned above. The petitioner is named in the FIR with allegation that he along with other accused persons obstructed the police party from discharging their official duty. Upon perusal of case diary, it appears that the witnesses have supported the prosecution story. Furthermore, as per Para 3 of the bail petition, the petitioner carries no criminal antecedents and that, the petitioner happens to be a female.

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, GAYAJI**ABP No. 2104/2026****Savita Devi Vs. The State of Bihar****Paraiya P.S. Case No. 114/2026**

In view of the aforesaid, the prayer for anticipatory bail of these petitioner is hereby **allowed**. It is directed that the petitioner in the event of arrest or surrender before the court concerned within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of **Rs.25,000/-** with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the court concerned with condition as laid down u/s 482 of the BNSS.

(Dictated and corrected)

Sd/-

(P.K. Malik)

Sessions Judge, Gayaji

20.06.2026

Date of Order	20.06.2026
Date of reserving Order	N/A
Uploading Date	23.07.2026
Uploaded by	Steno (Vikash Kumar)