

1. Mithlesh Manjhi S/o Late Nawal Manjhi
2. Jharokha Devi W/o Mithlesh Manjhi
3. Anuj Manjhi S/o Late Nawal Manjhi
All R/o village- Tehta Bazar Sabji Mandi,
P.S.- Tehta, O.P.- Makhdumpur, District- Jehanabad

----- Petitioners

VERSUS

The State of Bihar

----- Opposite Party

An anticipatory bail application has been filed on behalf of above named accused/petitioners, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with ***Belaganj P.S. Case No. 589/2024***, for the offences registered **U/s. 96, 137(2) of the B.N.S., pending in the Court of Ld. C.J.M., Gaya.**

2. Heard both the sides on the bail application.
3. Learned defence counsel, submitted that prior to this, the petitioner has not filed either anticipatory or regular bail petition before this Court or the Hon'ble Patna High Court. It is further submitted that petitioners are innocent, committed no offence and have falsely been implicated in this case. It is further submitted that both parties have compromised this case outside the Court and compromise petition duly signed by both the parties is attached with Trial Court record. Lastly, he submitted that the petitioner having no criminal antecedent. Hence, it is prayed to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.
4. Per contra the Ld. A.P.P. appeared on behalf of State opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.
5. Prosecution case, in brief, as per informant is that on 09.10.2024 at about 11.00 A.M. her minor daughter aged about 17 years left her house without giving any information to any one and did not return back home till 6.00 P.M. Thereafter she searched her but found traceless. Thereafter she received information from co-villagers that accused petitioner Anuj Manjhi kidnapped her daughter with intention to solemnized illegal marriage with her. Thereafter informant went to the house of Anuj Manjhi and came to know that her daughter was kidnapped by all three accused petitioners.
6. Heard both sides and perused the case record. From perusal of the case record, it appears that petitioner is named in the F.I.R. Allegation against the petitioner is that accused petitioner Anuj Manjhi with the help of rest two accused petitioners kidnapped her minor daughter by seducing her. Statement U/S 180 of BNSS recorded by the police and statement

U/S 183 of BNSS recorded by the Ld. Judicial Magistrate are attached with the T.C.R. in which she nothing stated against the accused petitioners rather she stated that she left her house on her own will as her mother and sister scolded her and went to her Bua's house at Panda Bigha (Pai Bigha). Both parties have compromised this case outside the Court and compromise petition duly signed by both the parties is available on Trial Court record. Informant and victim also appeared before the Court and admitted the factum of compromise and they also endorsed their signature and thumb impression on the order-sheet. Nothing has been mentioned on the point of age in the entire case diary.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and other material available on record, this court thinks it fit to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, it is directed that in the event of their arrest or surrender before the Ld. Court below within a month from the date of this order, the petitioner shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of like amount each. This direction for anticipatory bail is subject to all the conditions stipulated u/s. 482 of BNSS.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Shashi Kant Ojha)
Addl. Sessions Judge-1, Gaya
16.06.2026