

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, GAYAJI

A.B.P. No. 1963/2026

Loknath Yadav Vs. The State of Bihar

Complaint Case No. 2212/2012

26.05.2026

Present :

For the petitioner-: Sri Mukesh Kumar Verma, Ld. Advocate

For the State-----: Sri S. B. Ojha, Ld. P.P.

The present petition for anticipatory bail has been filed on behalf of the petitioner Loknath Yadav apprehending his arrest in connection with Complaint Case No. 2212/2012 registered for the offences punishable under sections 323, 504, 506, 384 of the IPC.

The prosecution story as per the complainant is that on 24.11.2012 at about 05:00p.m., the informant along with one Kundan went to the accused-petitioner's house. At that place, three persons were present. It is alleged that the accused-petitioner abused the informant and threatened him, while the other accused persons assaulted said Kundan. When the informant attempted to flee, he was caught hold of and assaulted. It is further alleged that the accused persons snatched Rs.500 from the pocket of the informant and compelled Kundan to sign on a blank paper.

Ld. counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that they have no concern whatsoever with the alleged offence and has falsely been dragged in this

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, GAYAJI

A.B.P. No. 1963/2026

Loknath Yadav Vs. The State of Bihar

Complaint Case No. 2212/2012

case.

Ld. counsel further contended that the petitioner had no knowledge of the institution of the present case and that summons were never served upon him and without service of summons, further coercive processes have been issued against him. He further submits that the allegation of extortion is totally unfounded and baseless, hence section 384 of the IPC is not attracted in this case.

Ld. counsel further submits that the petitioner is a government servant. He further submits that the petitioner carries no criminal antecedents and the co-accused persons namely Ramesh Yadav and Muni Yadav have already been granted anticipatory bail vide order dated 05.07.2017 passed in ABP No. 1306/2017, hence on the basis of parity, the prayer for anticipatory bail of this petitioner may also be considered favourably.

Ld. P.P. has also been heard in opposition to the prayer for bail.

Having considered the submissions advanced on behalf of the parties and perused the case diary, it appears that the petitioner carries no criminal antecedents and that the co-accused persons have already been granted anticipatory bail vide order dated 05.07.2017 passed in ABP No. 1306/2017.

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, GAYAJI**A.B.P. No. 1963/2026****Loknath Yadav Vs. The State of Bihar****Complaint Case No. 2212/2012**

In view of the aforesaid, the prayer for anticipatory bail of this petitioner is hereby **allowed**. It is directed that the petitioner in the event of arrest or surrender before the court concerned within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of **Rs.25,000/-** with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the court concerned with condition as laid down u/s 482 of the BNSS.

(Dictated and corrected)

Sd/-
(P.K. Malik)
Sessions Judge, Gayaji
26.05.2026

Date of Order	26.05.2026
Date of reserving Order	N/A
Uploading Date	06.06.2026
Uploaded by	Steno (Vikash Kumar)