

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE-cum- SPL. JUDGE
NDPS ACT, GAYA
Spl. A.B.P. No. 43/2026
Rambriksh Bharti @ Briksh Bhuian Vs. The State of Bihar
Bhadwar P.S Case No. 07/2024

23.05.2026

Present :

For the petitioner -----: Sri Bawan Kumar, Advocate

For the State-----: Md. S. Farrooque, Spl. Public Prosecutor

The present petition for anticipatory bail has been filed on behalf of the petitioner Rambriksh Bharti @ Briksh Bhuian apprehending his arrest in connection with Bhadwar P.S Case No. 07/2024 registered for the offences punishable under sections 8(B), 18, 24, 27(B) and 29 of the NDPS Act.

The prosecution story as per the informant Srikant Kumar, Forest officer in brief is that acting under the directions of the superior authority, the informant along with other forest officers went to Bhokha and Gansha protected area and conducted various raids on 19.01.2024, 20.01.2024, 24.01.2024 and on 31.01.2024. It is further alleged that during the course of raids, the raiding party found illegal cultivation of opium plants over the forest land measuring total area of 15.92 acres and 18.18 acres over non-forest land. The opium plants were destroyed and informant came to know that the accused-petitioner along with others were engaged in illegal cultivation of opium plants.

Ld. counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that on the condition of anonymity, the name of this petitioner has been transpired in this case. He further submits that neither khata no.

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE-cum- SPL. JUDGE
NDPS ACT, GAYA
Spl. A.B.P. No. 43/2026
Rambriksh Bharti @ Briksh Bhuian Vs. The State of Bihar
Bhadwar P.S Case No. 07/2024

nor plot no. is mentioned in the FIR. He further submits that the petitioner has no concern whatsoever with the alleged offence and he is a daily wage labour and lives outside.

Ld. counsel further submits that vide order dated 10.07.2024 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in Cri. Misc. No.43150/2024 the prayer for anticipatory bail of co-accused persons has been favourably considered and the case of this petitioner stands on similar footing, hence the prayer for anticipatory bail of this petitioner may also be considered favourably,

Ld. Counsel further submits that petitioner carries no criminal antecedents.

Ld. Spl. P.P opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail. However, he has not been able to dispute the submission that the petitioner's case stands on similar footing whose prayer for anticipatory bail has been favourably considered by the Hon'ble High Court as stated in preceding paragraph.

I have considered the submissions as advanced by the parties and have perused the orders as discussed above more specifically the order dated 10.07.2024 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in Cri. Misc. No.43150 of 2024.

Taking note of the aforesaid submissions, this court does not find any ground or any reason for not entertaining or refusing the prayer for anticipatory bail of this petitioner in view of the order passed by the Hon'ble High Court and as such, the prayer for anticipatory bail of this

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE-cum- SPL. JUDGE
NDPS ACT, GAYA
Spl. A.B.P. No. 43/2026
Rambriksh Bharti @ Briksh Bhuian Vs. The State of Bihar
Bhadwar P.S Case No. 07/2024

petitioner is hereby **allowed**. It is directed that the petitioner in the event of arrest or surrender before the court concerned within a period of four weeks from today he shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of **Rs.25,000/-** with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the court concerned with condition laid down u/s 482 of the BNSS.

(Dictated and corrected)

Sd/-
(Shashi Kant Ojha)
I/C Sessions Judge-cum
Spl. Judge NDPS Act, Gaya
23.05.2026

Date of Order	23.05.2026
Date of reserving Order	N/A
Uploading Date	06.06.2026
Uploaded by	Steno (Vikash Kumar)