

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, GAYA

A.B.P. No. 1774/2026

Prem Kumar Nirala @ Shakti Kumar Vs. State of Bihar

Koch P.S Case No.61/2026

10.06.2026

Present :

For the petitioner ----- : Sri Pritam Ananta, Advocate

For the State----- : Sri S.B. Ojha, Public Prosecutor

The present petition for anticipatory bail has been filed on behalf of the petitioner Prem Kumar Nirala @ Shakti Kumar apprehending his arrest in connection with Koch P. S. Case No.61/2026 registered for the offences punishable U/ss 87, 351(2), 3(5) of BNS.

Prosecution case, in brief, as per written report of the informant Punam Devi is that on 08.03.2026 at about 3:00 A.M., she went outside the house for natural call but she did not return. It is further alleged that the informant has apprehension that accused/petitioner has enticed her daughter and fled away. It is further alleged that the accused/petitioner used to threaten that he will malice her image in the society.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case with ulterior motive. He further submits that the informant's daughter is major girl and she is able to take decision about her future. He further submits that the informant's daughter and petitioner has married on 03.04.2026 at Arya Samaj Vivah Sthal Trust and got registered their marriage at Tis Hazari Court Delhi and a marriage certificate has been issued to them.

Ld. P. P. for the State vehemently opposes the prayer of the petitioner for anticipatory bail.

I have considered the submissions as advanced by the parties and have perused the case record as well as case diary. The petitioner is named in the FIR with allegation that the he has coaxed the informant's daughter and took

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, GAYA**A.B.P. No. 1774/2026****Prem Kumar Nirala @ Shakti Kumar Vs. State of Bihar****Koch P.S Case No.61/2026**

her along with him. After perusal of case diary, it appears that the victim has not been recovered as yet and the materials collected during the course of investigation prima facie discloses the involvement of this petitioner in the said offence. As per para-18 of the case dairy, the age of victim has been assessed about 20 years. It further appears from perusal of para-22 of the case diary, the petitioner carries one criminal antecedent. The investigation in the present case is still going on.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and serious nature of the alleged offence particularly investigation in this case is still going on, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is hereby **rejected**.

(Dictated and corrected)

Sd/-
(P.K. Malik)
Sessions Judge, Gaya
10.06.2026

Date of Order	10.06.2026
Date of reserving Order	N/A
Uploading Date	17.06.2026
Uploaded by	Steno (Vikash Kumar)