

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, GAYA
B.P. No. 837/2026
Rahul Kumar @ Bhola & Anr. Vs. The State of Bihar
Magadh University P.S. Case No. 25/2026

25.05.2026

Present :

For the petitioners --: Sri Ram Kumar Sinha, Ld. Advocate
For the State-----: Sri Narendra Prasad Gupta, Ld. In-
Charge, P.P.

The present petition for regular bail has been filed on behalf of petitioners Rahul Kumar @ Bhola and Vishal Kumar who are in judicial custody since 16.03.2026 in connection with Magadh University P.S. Case No. 25/2026 registered for the offence punishable under section 309(4) of the BNS.

The prosecution story as per the written report submitted by the informant is that on 12.01.2026 at about 9:30 a.m., the petitioner had left his residence for the purpose of collecting money. He collected Rs.7,00,000/- from Reliance Metro and Rs.9,00,000/- from AIIMS, and was carrying a total sum of Rs.16,00,000/- in his *Pithu* bag while travelling on his motorcycle. It is further alleged that in the course of his journey, three unknown masked persons riding a black Apache motorcycle overtook him and attempted to snatch away the said *Pithu* bag. In the process, the informant lost balance and fell down. Thereafter, one auto approached from behind, and its driver, after exhibiting a country-made pistol (*Katta*), forcibly snatched away the *Pithu* bag containing Rs.16,00,000/- and fled away.

Ld. counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been dragged into this case.

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, GAYA
B.P. No. 837/2026
Rahul Kumar @ Bhola & Anr. Vs. The State of Bihar
Magadh University P.S. Case No. 25/2026

He further submits that the FIR has been lodged against unknown and this petitioner has not been named therein. He further contended that he has no connection whatsoever with the alleged offence and he is languishing in jail since 16.03.2026.

Ld. counsel further submits that informant has alleged that on 12.01.2026, he was forcefully intercepted by three unknown persons with covered faces, who were wearing helmets and snatched away a black bag containing Rs. 16,00,000/-. He further submits that merely on the basis of suspicion, this petitioner has been implicated in this case. He further submits that although the petitioner carries some criminal antecedents which has been referred to in Para-3 of the bail petition, but criminal antecedents alone cannot be a ground for not considering the prayer for regular bail.

Ld. counsel further contended that the co-accused namely Amarjeet Kumar has already been granted bail vide order dated 16.04.2026 passed in BP No. 626/2026, hence on the basis of parity, the prayer for bail of this petitioner may also be considered favourably.

Ld. P.P. has also been heard in opposition to the prayer for bail.

Having considered the submissions and upon perusal of the record, it appears that the FIR was lodged against unknown and this petitioner has not been named therein, hence the implication of this petitioner seems to be primarily on suspicion. Further, the

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, GAYA
B.P. No. 837/2026
Rahul Kumar @ Bhola & Anr. Vs. The State of Bihar
Magadh University P.S. Case No. 25/2026

co-accused namely Amarjeet Kumar has been granted bail vide order dated 16.04.2026 passed in BP No. 626/2026.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is hereby **allowed**. The petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of **Rs. 25,000/-** with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the court concerned.

(Dictated and corrected)

Sd/-
(Shashi Kant Ojha)
I/C Sessions Judge, Gayaji
25.05.2026

Date of Order	25.05.2026
Date of reserving Order	N/A
Uploading Date	03.06.2026
Uploaded by	Steno (Vikash Kumar)