

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, GAYA
B.P. No. 817/2026
Chhotu Manjhi @ Chhotu Kumar Vs. The State of Bihar
Chakand P.S. Case No. 48/2026

23.06.2026

Present :

For the petitioner --: Sri Dharmendra Kumar Sinha, Ld. Adv.
For the State-----: Sri S. B. Ojha, Ld. Public Prosecutor

The present petition for regular bail has been filed on behalf of petitioner Chhotu Manjhi @ Chhotu Kumar who is in judicial custody since 19.03.2026 in connection with Chakand P.S. Case No. 48/2026 registered for the offence punishable under sections 191(2), 190, 191(3), 115(2), 352, 117(2), 118(1), 109, 303(2), 351(2) of the BNS.

The prosecution story as per the informant in brief is that on 05.03.2026 at about 15:00 hours when the informant being a ward member of the local *Janpratinidhi* was moving around in the village, he was informed that a quarrel was taking place nearby and was requested to intervene. When the informant reached the spot and attempted to pacify the situation, at that time, the accused persons armed with weapons abused the informant and assaulted him with lathi, danda and bricks with intent to cause death. As a result, the informant sustained injuries on his forehead, head and other parts of the body. Subsequently, accused Anup Kumar along with his brother Baljivan, Baiju Manjhi and others, armed with a sword, attacked the informant with intent to kill, striking blows on his leg. Further the accused Raja Manjhi and Akash Kumar snatched away the gold

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, GAYA
B.P. No. 817/2026
Chhotu Manjhi @ Chhotu Kumar Vs. The State of Bihar
Chakand P.S. Case No. 48/2026

chain and locked from the informant's neck. Thereafter, the informant was taken to hospital for treatment.

Ld. counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case due to village dirty party politics. He further submits that the petitioner has committed no offence and the allegations levelled against him are totally false and fabricated. He further submits that there is no specific allegation against the petitioner and that, he has played no role in the alleged incident and hence he has been falsely dragged into this case due to previous animosity.

Ld. counsel further submits that the petitioner carries two criminal antecedents being Chakand P.S. Case No. 65/2025 and Chakand P.S. Case No. 67/2025. He further contends that the petitioner has been in judicial custody since 19.03.2026 i.e. for more than three months, hence the prayer for bail of this petitioner may be considered favourably.

Ld. P.P. has also been heard in opposition to the prayer for bail.

Having considered the submissions advanced on behalf of the parties and perused the case record along with trial court record, it appears that the petitioner carries two criminal antecedents being Chakand P.S. Case No. 65/2025 and Chakand P.S. Case No. 67/2025. Further, the petitioner has been in judicial custody since 19.03.2026 and there appears to be no specific allegation attributed against the

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, GAYA
B.P. No. 817/2026
Chhotu Manjhi @ Chhotu Kumar Vs. The State of Bihar
Chakand P.S. Case No. 48/2026

petitioner.

In view of the prolonged custody of the petitioner nearly, i.e. for more than three months, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is hereby **allowed**. The petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of **Rs. 25,000/-** with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the court concerned.

(Dictated and corrected)

Sd/-
(P.K. Malik)
Sessions Judge, Gayaji
23.06.2026

Date of Order	23.06.2026
Date of reserving Order	N/A
Uploading Date	23.07.2026
Uploaded by	Steno (Vikash Kumar)