

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE-XVTH, GAYA JEE.

A.B.P. No. 1665/2026

(Arising out of Kotwali P.S. Case No. 171/2025)

Deepak Kumar, aged about 44 Years S/o Ramkishor Prasad Saw
R/O- Mau Bazaar, P.S. Tekari, District- Gaya Jee-----Petitioner.

Vs

State of Bihar-----opposite party.

Present :- Sri Rajesh Mohan, District & Additional Sessions Judge-XVth, Gaya Jee.

Learned counsel for the petitioner:- Sri Rakesh Kumar, Ld. Advocate.

Learned APP for the State :- Sri Gopal Prajapati, Ld. Advocate.

Bail Order

14-07-2026 :- That the petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Kotwali P.S. Case No. 171/25, registered for the offence punishable U/S 318 (4) BNS pending in the court of C.J.M., Gaya Jee.

The prosecution case in narrow compass. The informant, Santosh Kumar, has filed a written complaint to the S.H.O., Kotwali P.S., inter alia therein stating that he has purchased 'Mayuri Toto' on finance from "Khusbhu Motors" showroom and on 27-02-2025 his "Mayuri Toto" bearing registration BR02RA-2881 was handed over by the informant for to the said "Khusbhu Motors" showroom to get the defect cured in batter of the said toto and the petitioner has assured to deliver the said toto to the informant on 17-03-2025 but when informant went to receive the said toto he found that said showroom was closed and the petitioner has fled away and further came to know that the petitioner has handed over the said vehicle to one Md. Nadeem Akram and to this effect an F.I.R. has been lodged.

As earlier called for, case-diary is received to this court.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is quite innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that earlier to this no such an application for anticipatory bail has ever been filed on behalf of the petitioner either before learned Principal District and Sessions Judge, Gaya Jee, or Hon'ble High Court. He further submits there is no criminal antecedent behind the petitioner. He further submits that petitioner is a salesman in the said 'Khusbhu Motors' and has no knowledge about the said occurrence. He further submit that informant is also a

In the court of District and Additional Sessions Judge-XV, Gaya Jee

A.B.P. No 1665/2026

Arising out of Kotwali P.S. Case No. 171/2025

Deepak Kumar -----petitioner

Vs

State of Bihar-----opposite party.

worker of said showroom and due to some dispute between both the parties this false and fabricated case has been lodged against the petitioner. He further submits that the informant has never deposited any toto to the said Showroom. He further submits that the petitioner is ready to face trial and prays for anticipatory bail on behalf of the petitioner.

Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail on behalf of the petitioner. He further submits that in para no. 08, 9, 11, 12 and 13 the statements of the informant and the witnesses have been recorded and they have supported the case of prosecution. He further submits that the said vehicle has not been recovered and restored to the petitioner.

Under the facts and circumstances stated above and having regard to the rival submissions of both sides and going through the F.I.R. and relevant para of the case-diary as referred above and considering that the investigation against the petitioner is still continue and considering that the said vehicle has not been seized by the police, this court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner and the prayer for anticipatory bail on behalf of the petitioner is **rejected**.

Accordingly, this anticipatory bail petition is **dismissed**.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Rajesh Mohan)

D. & A.S.J.-XVth, Gaya Jee.

Date of reserving of order	14-07-2026
Date of order	14-07-2026
Uploading date	18-07-2026
Uploaded by	Pankaj Kumar Sinha