

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, GAYAJI

A.B.P. No. 1579/2026

Sonu Manjhi @ Sonu Kumar & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar

Chakand P.S. Case No. 101/2020

06.06.2026

Present :

For the petitioners----: Sri Raj Kumar Shaw, Ld. Adv.

For the State----- : Sri S. B. Ojha, Ld. P.P.

The present petition for anticipatory bail has been filed on behalf of the petitioners 1. Sonu Manjhi @ Sonu Kumar 2. Chotu Manjhi @ Santosh Kumar 3. Prakash Manjhi 4. Kail Manjhi @ Kaila Manjhi apprehending their arrest in connection with Chakand P.S. Case No. 101/2020 registered for the offence punishable under sections 341, 323, 308, 504, 506, 34 of the IPC.

The prosecution story as per the informant in brief is that on 21.06.2020 at about 07:00p.m. while the informant was at his home, the accused petitioners came at his house and started hurling abusive language and assaulted him, causing him a head injury and bleeding. When the informant's brother came to save him, he too was assaulted with a head injury.

Ld. counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in this case due to previous grudge and rivalry and, in fact, they have committed no offence as alleged in the FIR. He further submits that the petitioners have been extended privilege in terms of section 41(1) of the Cr.P.C. and subsequently after submission

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, GAYAJI

A.B.P. No. 1579/2026

Sonu Manjhi @ Sonu Kumar & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar

Chakand P.S. Case No. 101/2020

of charge-sheet, cognizance has been taken vide order dated 13.01.2026 and summons have also been issued. He further submits that apprehending that once they appear before the Court concerned, they may be taken into custody, they have approached this Court for grant of protection.

Ld. counsel further contended that all the sections areailable except section 308 of the IPC which is a super addition to make the case more serious. He further submits that the allegations levelled against the petitioners are general and omnibus in nature and not specific. He further submits that during the course of free fight, some injuries might have sustained but there was absolutely no intention to cause death or to cause any significant injury to anyone.

Ld. P.P. has also been heard in opposition to the prayer for bail.

Considering the aforesaid submissions and upon perusal of the trial court record, it appears that the petitioners carry no criminal antecedents and as per Para -17 of the case diary, incorporating the injury report, the injury appears to be simple in nature caused by hard and blunt substance. Furthermore, the petitioners are said to have been extended privilege in terms of section 41(1) of the Cr.P.C. and summons have been directed to be issued.

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, GAYAJI**A.B.P. No. 1579/2026****Sonu Manjhi @ Sonu Kumar & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar****Chakand P.S. Case No. 101/2020**

Accordingly, the prayer for bail of these petitioners is hereby **allowed**. It is directed that the petitioners in the event of arrest or surrender before the court concerned within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order, the petitioners are directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of **Rs.25,000/-** with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the court concerned with condition as laid down u/s 482 of the BNSS.

(Dictated and corrected)

Sd/-

(Shashi Kant Ojha)
I/C Sessions Judge, Gayaji
06.06.2026

Date of Order	06.06.2026
Date of reserving Order	N/A
Uploading Date	29.06.2026
Uploaded by	Steno (Vikash Kumar)