

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, GAYAJI

A.B.P. No. 1503/2026

Amardeep Kumar @ Amardeep Kumar Varma Vs. The State
of Bihar

Civil Lines P.S. Case No. 536/2025

19.05.2026

Present :

For the petitioner---: Sri Kailash Roy, Ld. Advocate

For the State-----: Sri S. B. Ojha, Ld. P.P.

The present petition for anticipatory bail has been filed on behalf of the petitioner Amardeep Kumar @ Amardeep Kumar Varma apprehending his arrest in connection with Civil Lines P.S. Case No. 536/2025 registered for the offences punishable under sections 137(2) and 87 of the BNS.

The prosecution story as per the informant in brief is that on 09.12.2025 at about 07:00 a.m. the informant's daughter went to her college but did not return home till evening. Despite search being made, she could not be traced and her mobile phone was also found switched off. Subsequently, the informant came to know that the accused-petitioner had enticed and allured away the informant's daughter to Hazaribagh. It is further alleged that her daughter carried with her jewellery, bank passbook and a sum of Rs.20,000/-.

Ld. counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that both the parties had solemnized marriage out of their own free will and that, they were acquainted with each other prior to the alleged incident. He further submits that the petitioner carries no criminal antecedents.

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, GAYAJI**A.B.P. No. 1503/2026****Amardeep Kumar @ Amardeep Kumar Varma Vs. The State**
of Bihar**Civil Lines P.S. Case No. 536/2025**

Ld. P.P. vehemently opposed the prayer for bail submitting that the victim in her statement record under section 183 of the BNSS has categorically stated that the marriage was performed under pressure and inducement, as the petitioner had threatened to delete her private photographs only in exchange for marriage. He further submits that the petitioner used to assault and intimidate the victim, thereby coercing her into such relationship.

Having considered the rival submissions and perused the materials on record, particularly the statement of the victim recorded under section 183 of the BNSS, it appears that the marriage was not a result of free consent but was obtained under threat and inducement. The allegations further disclose that the petitioner persistently demanded money from the victim.

In view of the aforesaid, this Court is not inclined to entertain the privilege of anticipatory bail to this petitioner.

Accordingly, this anticipatory bail petition stands **dismissed**.
(Dictated and corrected)

Sd/-
(Shashi Kant Ojha)
I/C Sessions Judge, Gayaji
19.05.2026

Date of Order	19.05.2026
Date of reserving Order	N/A
Uploading Date	23.05.2026
Uploaded by	Steno (Vikash Kumar)