

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, GAYA

A.B.P. No. 1496/2026

**Yogendra Manjhi @ Jugal Manjhi & Ors. Vs. The State of
Bihar**

Mufassil P.S. Case No. 22/2026

15.05.2026

Present :

For the petitioner---: Sri Rajeev Kumar Singh, Ld. Advocate

For the State-----: Sri S. B. Ojha, Ld. P.P.

The present petition for anticipatory bail has been filed on behalf of the petitioners 1. Yogendra Manjhi @ Jugal Manjhi 2. Rekha Devi 3. Kunti Devi 4. Sabita Devi apprehending their arrest in connection with Mufassil P.S. Case No. 22/2026 registered for the offences punishable under sections 126(2), 115(2), 109, 117(2), 118(1), 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the BNS.

The prosecution story as per the informant in brief is that on 11.01.2026 at about 19:00 p.m. when the informant returned home, after doing labour work, he found that dirty waste had been dumped in the courtyard of his house from a fodder-cutting machine. On questioning about the same, petitioner no. 1 along with one Vikram Manjhi started abusing and assaulted him. Petitioner no. 1 struck the informant with the reverse side of a Gadasa, thereby fracturing the bone of his right hand and further at the instigation of said Vikram Manjhi, petitioner no. 1 inflicted blows with the Gadasa on the forehead, above the eyes and above the nose. It is further alleged that other petitioners

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, GAYA

A.B.P. No. 1496/2026

**Yogendra Manjhi @ Jugal Manjhi & Ors. Vs. The State of
Bihar**

Mufassil P.S. Case No. 22/2026

along with others assaulted the informant with lathi and danda and abused him. When the family members came to rescue, all the accused persons collectively assaulted them also, including the son and daughter of the informant. On seeing the villagers approaching, the accused persons fled away. Thereafter the injured were taken for treatment.

Ld. counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in this. He further submits that both the parties are resident of same village and they are, in fact, members of the same broader family. He further contended that in the background of some petty matter, an altercation ensued between the parties resulting in institution of the FIR.

Ld. counsel further submits that the petitioners carry no criminal antecedents and they were not caught on the spot. He further submits that in the background of same incident, two cases have been registered one being Mufassil P.S. Case No. 24/2026 and the other being the present one.

Ld. counsel further submits that during the course of free fight, both the parties sustained some minor injuries but there was absolutely no intention to cause death or to cause any significant injury to anyone.

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, GAYA

A.B.P. No. 1496/2026

**Yogendra Manjhi @ Jugal Manjhi & Ors. Vs. The State of
Bihar**

Mufassil P.S. Case No. 22/2026

Ld. P.P. vehemently opposed the prayer for bail submitting that the petitioners are named in the FIR and the petitioner no. 1 has been specifically attributed with assault by Gadasa, resulting in fracture of the right hand of the informant and further injuries on the forehead and nose. He further submits that the petitioner no. 2, 3 and 4 are female and though, the allegations of assault with lathi and danda and abuse have been made, the role attributed to them is comparatively less severe than that of petitioner no. 1

Having considered the submissions advanced on behalf of the parties and upon perusal of the record along with the case diary, it appears that the petitioners carry no criminal antecedents and on the basis of same incident, two cases have been filed one being Mufassil P.S. Case No. 24/2026 and the other being the present one. Further, it appears that the petitioner no. 1 is specifically attributed with assault by reverse side of Gadasa resulting in fracture of the right hand of the informant and further injuries on the forehead and nose. The role assigned to the petitioner no. 1 is grave in nature. Furthermore, the injury report reflects the injury to be grievous in nature caused by hard and blunt substance.

So far as other petitioners are concerned, they are alleged to have assaulted with lathi and danda and abused. The specific

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, GAYA**A.B.P. No. 1496/2026****Yogendra Manjhi @ Jugal Manjhi & Ors. Vs. The State of
Bihar****Mufassil P.S. Case No. 22/2026**

role attributed is comparatively less severe. It is also noted that the petitioner no. 2, 3 and 4 are females.

In view of the aforesaid, the prayer for anticipatory bail of **petitioner no. 1**, namely **Yogendra Manjhi @ Jugal Manjhi** is **rejected** and that of **Rekha Devi, petitioner no. 2, Kunti Devi petitioner no. 3 and Sabita Devi, petitioner no. 4** is hereby **allowed**. It is directed that the petitioners in the event of arrest or surrender before the court concerned within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order, the petitioners are directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of **Rs.25,000/-** with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the court concerned with condition as laid down u/s 482 of the BNSS.

(Dictated and corrected)

Sd/-
(P. K. Malik)
Sessions Judge, Gaya
15.05.2026

Date of Order	15.05.2026
Date of reserving Order	N/A
Uploading Date	23.05.2026
Uploaded by	Steno (Vikash Kumar)