

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, GAYA

A.B.P. No. 1490/2026

Uday Singh Vs. The State of Bihar

Gehlaur P.S. Case No. 21/2026

20.05.2026

Present :

For the petitioner ----: Sri Umesh Singh, Advocate

For the State-----: Sri S. B. Ojha, P.P.

The present petition for anticipatory bail has been filed on behalf of the petitioner Uday Singh apprehending his arrest in connection with Gehlaur P.S. Case No. 21/2026 registered for the offences punishable under sections 126(2), 127(2), 115(2), 74, 303(2), 331, 333, 352, 351(2) (3) of the BNS.

Prosecution case, in brief, as per typed report of the informant Santosh Kaushik is that on 15.03.2026 about 12:30 P.M., and on the same day at about 7:30 P.M., the accused/petitioner entered the house of his grandmother (Sihanta Devi) with intention to committing theft. It is further alleged that the accused/petitioner caught her hair and started assaulting with fists and slaps and also assaulted with iron rod. It is further alleged that the accused/petitioner outraged the modesty and threatened that if, she complains before the police, he will kill her. It is further alleged that accused/petitioner is criminal minded person as he has murdered one Vijay Singh in the year 1996.

Ld. counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that informant and victim has no any

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, GAYA

A.B.P. No. 1490/2026

Uday Singh Vs. The State of Bihar

Gehlaur P.S. Case No. 21/2026

relation (blood relation) and victim is issueless and residing besides petitioner's house and due to said reason, victim is cared by petitioner and his family members. He further submits that informant and his family members want to grab the victim's property, so, this case has been brought by the informant.

He further submits that the case has been lodged after the delay of 11 days and no explanation is given for delay. He further submits that all the sections are bailable and Section 74 and 303(2) is added to make this case more serious.

Ld. P.P. has also been heard in opposition to the prayer for anticipatory bail.

I have considered the aforesaid submissions and have perused the case record as well as case diary. The petitioner is named in the FIR and allegation against him is that he has entered the house of informant's grandmother and assaulted her with fists and slaps and also with iron rod. The allegation levelled against petitioner appears to be superficial in nature. After perusal of case diary, more specifically as per para-32 of the case diary, there is no reference of any medical treatment in the government hospital and as per para-17 of the case diary, the petitioner carries two criminal antecedents and it is settled principle of law that only on the basis of criminal antecedents, the prayer for bail cannot be refused.

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, GAYA**A.B.P. No. 1490/2026****Uday Singh Vs. The State of Bihar****Gehlaur P.S. Case No. 21/2026**

Taking note of the submissions, the prayer for bail of this petitioner is hereby **allowed**. It is directed that the petitioner in the event of arrest or surrender before the court concerned within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of **Rs.25,000/-** with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the court concerned with condition as laid down u/s 482 of the BNSS.

(Dictated and corrected)

Sd/-

(Shashi Kant Ojha)
I/C Sessions Judge, Gaya
20.05.2026

Date of Order	20.05.2026
Date of reserving Order	N/A
Uploading Date	01.06.2026
Uploaded by	Steno (Vikash Kumar)