

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-III, GAYA**ANTICIPATORY BAIL PETITION NO. 1441 OF 2026**

[Arising out of Mahkar P.S. Case No.214 of 2025]

Azad Kumar S/o Gajendra Thakur, R/o- Village-Makhdumpur, P.S.

Khizersarai (Mahkar), Distt.-Gaya. ----- Accused Petitioner

VERSUS

The State of Bihar ----- Opposite Party

Advocate for Petitioner - Mr. Pramod Kumar, Ld. Advocate**Advocate for State - Mr. Banbari Prasad, Ld. Addl. P.P.****Present - Ajit Kumar Singh****Dist. & Addl. Sessions Judge III,****Gaya****ORDER****Date : 01/06/2026**

1. This anticipatory bail petition have been pressed today for hearing on behalf of the petitioner who is apprehending his arrest in connection with this Mahkar P.S. Case No. 214/2025 registered under Sections 126(2),115(2),352,85,3(5) of B.N.S and 3/4 of DP Act.

2. Sri Pramod Kumar, the learned counsel has appeared to move this anticipatory bail prayer. The prosecution is represented by the Addl. Public Prosecutor.

3. The case of prosecution in brief is that marriage of complainant was solemnized with Dhanraj Kumar on 21.04.2024 as per Hindu customs and rituals. At the time of marriage complainant parents gave Rs.7,00,000/- in cash, one gold chain worth Rs.70,000/-, copper utensils worth Rs.30,000/-, households articles worth Rs.50,000/- for motorcycle Rs. 1,00,000/-worth about Rs.1,00,000. After her marriage, she lived peacefully in her matrimonial home only for a few days. Thereafter, her in-laws started demanding four wheeler vehicle and cash amount for doing business. When she objected for it, all the accused persons named in the FIR allegedly abused and assaulted her with fists and clubs and threatened that if she did not bring more money, they would arrange another marriage of her husband. Time and again all the accused persons kept assaulting her. It is further alleged that after

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-III, GAYA**ANTICIPATORY BAIL PETITION NO. 1441 OF 2026**

[Arising out of Mahkar P.S. Case No.214 of 2025]

seeing birth of girl child from her on 07.04.2025, all her in-laws went away leaving her alone. Since then she is living at her parental home.

4. The learned defence counsel has submitted that the accused petitioner is quite innocent, has committed no offence as alleged by the prosecution. Ld. counsel further submits that the dispute arose out of Matrimonial discord and this case has been instituted for implicating the petitioner and his whole family falsely.

In the light of said submission the Ld. Counsel for the petitioner prays for extending the protection of anticipatory bail

5. The Ld. P.P has opposed the prayer of bail.

6. Heard both the parties and perused the case record.

From perusal of the case record it appears that, petitioner is in-law of the victim. The common and omnibus allegation has been made against the petitioner. Any specific role is not attributed against him in commission of alleged offence. The primary responsibility to keep legally wedded wife is on husband and petitioner is brother in law of her husband.

7. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the accused petitioner. If the accused petitioner appears before the learned Trial Court within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of the order to the Trial Court, he shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs 10,000/- with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of the trial court with the statutory conditions particularized u/s 482 (2) of the B.N.S.S.

The prayer for anticipatory bail is hereby **allowed**.

SD-

(Ajit Kumar Singh)

Additional Sessions Judge-III, Gaya