

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, GAYAJI

A.B.P. No. 1430/2026

Murari Kumar @ Abhshek Kumar & Anr. Vs. The State of

Bihar

Khizarsarai P.S. Case No. 88/2026

22.05.2026

Present :

For the petitioners---: Sri Rakesh Kumar, Ld. Advocate

For the State-----: Sri S. B. Ojha, Ld. P.P.

The present petition for anticipatory bail has been filed on behalf of the petitioners Murari Kumar @ Abhshek Kumar and Chhotu Yadav apprehending their arrest in connection with Khizarsarai P.S. Case No. 88/2026 registered for the offences punishable under sections 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 109(1), 303(2), 351(2) and 3(5) of the BNS.

The prosecution story as per the informant in brief is that on 13.03.2026 at about 03:00 a.m. while the informant was returning home in his vehicle, the accused petitioners stopped his vehicle and threatened and assaulted him. The accused Ravi Kumar threatened him with his pistol. It is further alleged that the accused Pappu Kumar instigated said Ravi Kumar to kill the informant. It is further alleged that the accused persons snatched gold chain and attempted to flee away from the informant's car and also broke the glass of the said car.

Ld. counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in this case due to local village party politics. He further submits that there is no specific allegation against this petitioners and that, the petitioners carry no criminal antecedents. He further submits that the co-accused person has been granted regular bail vide order dated 10.04.2026 passed in BP No. 634/2026, hence the prayer for anticipatory bail of this petitioners may be considered favourably.

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, GAYAJI**A.B.P. No. 1430/2026****Murari Kumar @ Abhshek Kumar & Anr. Vs. The State of****Bihar****Khizarsarai P.S. Case No. 88/2026**

Ld. P.P. vehemently opposed the prayer for bail submitting that the petitioners are specifically named in the FIR. He further submits that as per Para- 5, 6 and 7 of the case diary, the witnesses have supported the incident. The allegations are grave in nature, hence the petitioners do not deserve the privilege of anticipatory bail.

Having considered the submissions and perused the case diary, it appears that the accusations against the petitioners are of serious nature. Furthermore, as per Para- 5, 6 and 7 of the case diary, the witnesses corroborate the allegations. The allegations prima facie disclose commission of offences involving criminal intimidation with firearm.

In view of the gravity of the offence, the direct role attributed to the petitioners, this Court is not inclined to entertain the prayer for anticipatory bail of these petitioners.

Accordingly, this anticipatory bail application stands **dismissed**.

(Dictated and corrected)

Sd/-
(Shashi Kant Ojha)
I/C Sessions Judge, Gayaji
22.05.2026

Date of Order	22.05.2026
Date of reserving Order	N/A
Uploading Date	06.06.2026
Uploaded by	Steno (Vikash Kumar)