

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, GAYA
B.P. No. 698/2026
Rajesh Yadav @ Munni Yadav @ Rakesh Yadav Vs. The State
of Bihar
Guraru P.S. Case No. 56/2026

30.05.2026

Present :

For the petitioner --: Smt. Kausar Fatima, Ld. Advocate

For the State-----: Sri S.B. Ojha, Ld. P.P.

The present petition for regular bail has been filed on behalf of petitioner Rajesh Yadav @ Munni Yadav @ Rakesh Yadav who is in judicial custody since 03.03.2026 in connection with Guraru P.S. Case No. 56/2026 registered for the offence punishable under section 25(1-b)a, 26 and 35 of the Arms Act.

The prosecution story as per the written report submitted by the informant, SI, Prakash Kumar is that on 01.03.2026, he along with the police party proceeded to Praiya for investigation in connection with Paraiya Case No. 57/2026. Upon reaching near the house of the accused-petitioner, he noticed a person fleeing on a blue-black Glamour motorcycle, who was chased and apprehended. On enquiry regarding the matter in connection with Paraiya P.S. Case No. 57/2026, the person disclosed his name as Rajesh Yadav @ Munni Yadav and he further stated that at the instance of one Deepak Rawani, he had gone to commit a loot on a motorcycle while carrying arms and ammunition and he further disclosed about concealing six cartridges and two weapons. Acting upon the information provided, the police reached the indicated place and recovered one loaded country-made pistol, another country-made pistol and four live cartridges. Further, from the pocket of the

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, GAYA
B.P. No. 698/2026
Rajesh Yadav @ Munni Yadav @ Rakesh Yadav Vs. The State
of Bihar
Guraru P.S. Case No. 56/2026

accused-petitioner, one Nokia mobile phone was found, which was duly seized following legal procedure.

Ld. counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence and that, he has falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that the petitioner has been dragged into this false case merely on the basis of suspicion and village politics.

Ld. counsel further submits that the petitioner carries some criminal antecedents which has been mentioned in Para-3 of the bail petition and he has been languishing in custody since 03.03.2026 i.e. nearly about three months. He further submits that arms were not recovered from the conscious possession of this petitioner. He further contends that the petitioner has also been made an accused in Paraiya P.S. Case No. 57/2026, in which the informant of this case had gone for investigation, as has been mentioned in the FIR, and he has likewise been implicated as an accused in the present case as well.

Ld. P.P. opposed the prayer for bail submitting that the petitioner is involved in five cases.

I have considered the submissions and have perused the trial court record, attached with the case record. The petitioner is in judicial custody since 03.03.2026 and upon perusal of the seizure list, it appears that arms were not recovered from the physical possession of the petitioner.

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, GAYA
B.P. No. 698/2026
Rajesh Yadav @ Munni Yadav @ Rakesh Yadav Vs. The State
of Bihar
Guraru P.S. Case No. 56/2026

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of accusation and the period of custody undergone, this Court is of the view that the prayer or bail of the petitioner deserves to be considered favourably.

Accordingly, this bail petition is hereby **allowed**. The petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of **Rs. 25,000/-** with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the court concerned.

(Dictated and corrected)

Sd/-
(P. K. Malik)
Sessions Judge, Gayaji
30.05.2026

Date of Order	30.05.2026
Date of reserving Order	N/A
Uploading Date	06.06.2026
Uploaded by	Steno (Vikash Kumar)