

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-XVI, Gaya Ji
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 1368 of 2026
(Arising out of Tankuppa P.S. Case No.216 of 2025)

=====

1. Bhola Yadav S/o Late Raghunandan Yadav
2. Anup Yadav S/o Late Musafir Yadav
Both R/o Village- Ichoi, P.S.- Tankuppa, District- Gaya Ji.

----- Petitioners

Versus

The State of Bihar

----- Opposite Party

=====

09.06.2026

The present anticipatory bail petition has been filed and pressed today for order on behalf of above named petitioners who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Tankuppa P.S. Case No.216 of 2025 for committing offence u/s 126(2) 115(2), 117(2), 118(1), 109, 352, 351(2), 3, 3(5) of BNS, pending in the court of Ld A.C.J.M.-IV, Gaya.

Heard Learned Advocate for the petitioners and Learned A.P.P. for the State.

This present case has been instituted by the informant namely Ashok Kumar who gave a written application to the SHO, Tankuppa P.S. inter-alia therein stating that on 20.10.2025, at about 06:30 P.M. the petitioners along with other co-accused abused and assaulted the informant due to which he got injury on head. Co-accused Pramod Yadav assaulted him on head with the iron rod. During this incident informant's father came and want to protect his son but all the petitioners and other co-accused assaulted him. During this incident informant got injury on his back, eyes and on hand. Hence this case.

The Learned defence counsel humbly submitted that the petitioners have not filed any bail either anticipatory or regular bail petition before this Court or any Hon'ble higher Court. Further he submitted that petitioners are quite innocent and have falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that petitioners and informant are co-villagers and due to fire crackers both the parties scuffle with each others. He further stated that it is a counter case filed against the present informant by the co-accused Pramod Yadav. Lastly, he submitted that the petitioners have one criminal antecedent, so the petitioners may be given the privilege of anticipatory bail.

The Learned A.P.P. opposed the prayer and prayed to dismiss the petition of bail filed by the petitioners.

Heard the Learned Counsels for both the sides and perused the case record along with the CD. From perusal of FIR, it transpires that this case has been instituted for the offence u/s 126(2) 115(2), 117(2), 118(1), 109, 352, 351(2), 3, 3(5) of BNS, against the co-accused along with present petitioners. From perusal of record it transpires that both the parties are co-relatives and it is a counter case of the case filed by co-accused Pramod Yadav against the informant which is Tankuppa P.S. Case No. 217 of 2025 u/s 109 of BNS. Injury of injured Ashok Kumar is lacerated wound on the right eyebrow which is opined as simple in

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-XVI, Gaya Ji
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 1368 of 2026
(Arising out of Tankuppa P.S. Case No.216 of 2025)

=====

1. Bhola Yadav S/o Late Raghunandan Yadav
2. Anup Yadav S/o Late Musafir Yadav
Both R/o Village- Ichoi, P.S.- Tankuppa, District- Gaya Ji.

----- Petitioners

Versus

The State of Bihar

----- Opposite Party

=====

09.06.2026

nature and also gone through the injury reports of other victims. Opinion for the injuries are reserved. However, injury of injured Pradip Yadav is abrasion on the right eyebrow, injury of injured Urmila Devi and Shivnandan Yadav is swelling on non vital part of body. From perusal of Para 3 of bail petition it transpires that the Petitioners have one criminal antecedent which is Tankuppa P.S. Case No.99 of 2017.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case as discussed above the nature of allegation against the petitioners, I find it proper to enlarge the petitioners on bail. Let the above named petitioners are directed to be released on bail in the event of arrest or surrender before the court below, within a period of 01 month from the date of receipt of this order on furnishing of bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount with conditions as laid down u/s 482(2) of BNSS. Subject to the condition is that the petitioners are directed to duly and regularly appear before the concerned Court on the dates of hearing fixed. It is, however, made clear that any observation made herein shall not be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Manoranjani Shaw)

**Additional Sessions Judge-XVI,
Gaya Ji**

Date of Order	09.06.2026
Date of Reserving Order	N/A
Uploading Date	10.06.2026
Uploaded by	Stenographer (Zakia Farheen)