

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-I, GAYA
ANTICIPATORY BAIL PETITION No. 1146/2026

=====

Shanti Devi W/o Shambhu Ravidas

R/o Manaini, P.S.- Wazirganj, District- Gaya

VERSUS

----- Petitioner

The State of Bihar

----- Opposite Party

=====

19.05.2026

An anticipatory bail application has been filed on behalf of above named accused/petitioner, who is apprehending her arrest in connection with ***Wazirganj P.S. Case No. 591/2025***, for the offences registered ***U/s. 137(2), 96, 3(5) of the B.N.S., pending in the Court of Sri Ajay Kumar, Ld. J.M.F.C., Gaya***

Heard both the sides on the bail application.

Learned defence counsel, submitted that prior to this, the petitioner has filed anticipatory bail petition vide A.B.P. No. 4622/2025 which was dismissed for default and except this, no anticipatory bail petition has filed before this Court or the Hon'ble Patna High Court. It is further submitted that petitioner is innocent, committed no offence and has falsely been implicated in this case. Petitioner is mother of main accused Vipin Kumar against whom specific allegation of kidnapping of minor daughter of informant is alleged in the F.I.R. Bail of other co-accused namely Shambhu Ravidas (husband of petitioner) has already been granted by this Court vide B.P. No. 171/2026, dated 12.03.2026. Lastly, he submitted that the petitioner having no criminal antecedent. Hence, it is prayed to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the accused petitioner.

Per contra the Ld. A.P.P. appeared on behalf of State opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Prosecution case, in brief, as per informant is that on 29.08.2025 at about 1.00 P.M. the minor daughter of informant went to Jamuawan for tuition, but she did not return back home till evening. On being searched, it came to knowledge that accused Vipin Kumar with the help of accused petitioner Shanti Devi (mother) and father Shambhu Ravidas kidnapped her daughter by seducing her. Mobile number of Vipin Kumar is available but the same is switched off. He fully confident that his minor daughter was kidnapped by accused Vipin Kumar.

Heard both sides and perused the case record. From perusal of the case record, it appears that petitioner is named in the F.I.R. Allegation against the petitioner is of assisting his son Vipin Kumar (main accused) in kidnapping the minor daughter of informant by seducing her. There is statement of victim recorded U/S 183 of BNSS before Ld. Judicial Magistrate, Gaya is available on record in which she admitted to have gone with co-accused Vipin Kumar to Delhi where they

solemnized marriage in a Temple and lived together in a room and except this, nothing stated against this accused petitioner. Victim also not stated anything against this petitioner in the statement recorded U/S 180 of BNSS by the police. Petitioner claimed to have clean antecedent vide para 03 of her bail petition. Moreover bail of other co-accused (husband of the petitioner) has already been granted by this Court.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and other materials on record, this court thinks it fit to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, it is directed that in the event of her arrest or surrender before the Ld. Court below within a month from the date of this order, the petitioner shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of like amount each along with all conditions stipulated u/s. 482 of BNSS.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Shashi Kant Ojha)
Addl. Sessions Judge-1, Gaya
19.05.2026