

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-I, GAYA
ANTICIPATORY BAIL PETITION No. 1011/2026

=====

1. Golu Kumar S/o Late Guddu Bind
2. Juhi Devi W/o Late Guddu Bind
3. Nunu Bind S/o Late Ram Swaroop Bind
4. Lalita Devi W/o Nunu Bind
All R/o village- Bhusunda, P.S.- Muffassil,
District- Gaya

----- Petitioners

VERSUS

The State of Bihar

----- Opposite Party

=====

27.05.2026

An anticipatory bail application has been filed on behalf of above named accused/petitioners, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with ***Muffassil P.S. Case No. 1288/2025***, for the offences registered **U/s. 96, 3(5) of the B.N.S., pending in the Court of Ld. C.J.M., Gaya.**

2. Heard both the sides on the bail application.
3. Learned defence counsel, submitted that prior to this, the petitioners have not filed either anticipatory or regular bail petition before this Court or the Hon'ble Patna High Court. It is further submitted that petitioners are innocent, committed no offence and have falsely been implicated in this case. It is further submitted that the prime accused is Gulshan Kumar, who is also juvenile and and both were in love affair, they fled away without knowledge of accused petitioners. When petitioners came to know about it, they searched the victim girl and handed over the police but only to pressurize the petitioners, they have been made accused in this case. Lastly, he submitted that the petitioners having no criminal antecedent. Hence, it is prayed to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.
4. Per contra the Ld. A.P.P. appeared on behalf of State opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.
5. Prosecution case, in brief, as per informant is that on 10-11.12.2025 at about 12.00-1.00 A.M. accused Gulshan Kumar with the help of two unknown persons kidnapped her minor daughter with bad intention. When informant got knowledge about this occurrence then they went to the house of accused Gulshan Kumar to inquire about the same. Then accused persons assured informant that they will got her minor daughter reached at their house on 11.12.2025 but they will not complete their promise till 3.30 P.M. Thereafter again informant and his family members met with accused petitioners and asked about her daughter then all accused persons instead of cooperating them abused and threatened them.

6. Heard both sides and perused the case record. From perusal of the case record, it appears that petitioners are named in the F.I.R. Allegation against the petitioners is that while informant went to the house of accused petitioners to inquire about her daughter then they misbehaved with informant instead of cooperating her. Statement of witnesses at para 03, 07 & 08 of the case diary, in which they have stated that when informant went to house of accused petitioner to inquire about her daughter then they abused and threatened them. Statement of victim recorded U/S 180(3) of BNSS by the police and statement recorded U/S 183 of BNSS by Ld. Judicial Magistrate available at para 26 & 28 of the case diary in which victim did not stated anything against the accused petitioners. From perusal of para 53 of the case diary, it transpires that main accused Gulshan Kumar has already surrendered in the Ld. J.J.B., Gaya on 21.04.2026. Accused petitioners claimed to have clean antecedent vide para 03 of their bail petition.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and other material available on record, this court thinks it fit to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, it is directed that in the event of their arrest or surrender before the Ld. Court below within a month from the date of this order, the petitioners shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of like amount each. This direction for anticipatory bail is subject to all the conditions stipulated u/s. 482 of BNSS.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Shashi Kant Ojha)
Addl. Sessions Judge-1, Gaya
27.05.2026