

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-I, GAYA
BAIL PETITION No. 449/2026

=====

Gobind Paswan @ Gobind Kumar S/o Mansur Paswan
R/o Mohalla- Bageshwari Mandir, P.S.- Delha, District- Gaya----- Petitioner
VERSUS

The State of Bihar ----- Opposite Party

=====

24.04.2026

This bail application has been filed on behalf of above named accused/petitioner, who is in judicial custody since 25.07.2025 in connection with **Delha P.S. Case No. 210/2023**, for the offences registered **U/s. 366(a)/34 of IPC pending in the Court of Ld. A.C.J.M.-I., Gaya.**

2. Heard both sides on the bail application.

3. Learned defence counsel, submitted that prior to this, the petitioner has filed anticipatory bail vide A.B.P. No. 2269/2025 which has been dismissed for default by this Court vide order dated 20.08.2025 and except this, no regular bail petition has been filed before this Court or before the Hon'ble Patna High Court. It is further submitted that petitioner is innocent, committed no offence and has falsely been implicated in this case. It is further submitted that it is evident from the contents of the F.I.R. itself that the alleged victim Radhika Kumari is fully mature women she is aged about 23 years so there is no question arise at all to induce or abduct her for the purpose of marriage hence Section 366(A) of I.P.C. is not attract in the case. It is also submitted that victim Radhika Kumari is still residing with her parents and no question arise at all in the night of 06/07-08-2023 she was kidnapped because there is no specific allegation against any of the accused persons of entering inside the house and abducting the alleged victim Radhika Kumari. It is relevant to state here that due to local and dirty politics and due to feud with regard to drainage some scuffle took place prior to two days before of lodging the F.I.R. and for the same reason, this false case has been built up and victim has given her statement U/S 164 of Cr.P.C only with intent to teach the lesson to the accused petitioners. After investigation police has submitted the charge-sheet in the case on 02.08.2025 but up till now cognizance has not been taken. Anticipatory and regular bail of other co-accused persons have been granted by the Hon'ble High Court, Patna. Case has been compromised and a separate compromise petition has been filed before the Ld. Trial

Court. Lastly he submitted that petitioner having no criminal antecedent and he is in judicial custody since 25.07.2025. Hence, it is prayed to grant the bail to the accused petitioner.

4. Per contra the Ld. A.P.P. appeared on behalf of State opposed the prayer for bail.

5. Prosecution case, in brief, as per informant is that in the night of 06-07.08.2023 accused petitioner Govind Paswan with the help of co-accused kidnapped/abducted his daughter with the intention to marry her. Petitioner Babu Keshri and co-accused Kaushalya Devi were also involved in act of this offence.

6. Heard both the parties and perused the material available on record. From perusal, it appears that petitioner is named in the F.I.R. The allegation against the petitioner is of kidnapping/ abduction of daughter of informant. Vide para 41 the statement recorded U/S 161 of Cr.P.C. (180 of BNSS) by the police in which she alleged that accused petitioner with the help of two other co-accused persons kidnapped her and took her to Gadhwa and after two days accused petitioner Gobind Paswan took her to Surat where his brother lived and accused Gobind Paswan also told the victim to demand 50,000/- from her parents, otherwise he will not keep her. Statement recorded U/S 164 of Cr.P.C. (183 of BNSS) by Ld. Judicial Magistrate, Gayaji is also available in the Trial Court record in which she also alleged the same as alleged by her father in the F.I.R. Charge-sheet has also been submitted against the accused petitioner after completion of investigation against him. Moreover anticipatory as well as regular bail petition of other co-accused persons were rejected by this Court as well as predecessor Court. The allegation against the petitioner is grave and serious in nature.

7. Thus, considering the facts and circumstances of the case as discussed above and nature of offence, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. Hence, the prayer for bail to the petitioner is hereby **rejected**.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Shashi Kant Ojha)
Addl. Sessions Judge-1, Gaya
24.04.2026