

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, GAYAJI

A.B.P. No. 924/2026

Karu Lal Prasad @ Yogendra Kumar Sinha & Ors. Vs. The

State of Bihar

Bodhgaya P.S. Case No. 270/2024

19.03.2026

Present :

For the petitioners : Sri Lala Ravindra Prasad Ambastha, Ld. Adv.

For the State----- : Sri S.B. Ojha, Ld. P.P.

The present petition for anticipatory bail has been filed on behalf of the petitioners 1. Karu Lal Prasad @ Yogendra Kumar Sinha 2. Rahul Kumar 3. Aashu Raut @ Aashu Kumar 4. Bittu Raut @ Bittu Kumar 5. Arvind Kumar 6. Karu Raut @ Binod Raut 7. Suraj Raut @ Suraj Kumar 8. Abhishek Kumar 9. Chhotu Raut @ Rinku Kumar apprehending their arrest in connection with Bodhgaya P.S. Case No. 270/2024 registered for the offences punishable under sections 147, 149, 341, 323, 353, 354, 186, 188, 427, 283, 337 of the IPC.

Ld. counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have falsely been dragged in this case.

Ld. counsel further contended that an unfortunate accident took place in which the sister-in-law (*Bhabhu*) of the petitioner no. 1 lost her life and in connection with the said accident, the husband of the deceased lodged Bodhgaya P.S. Case No. 269/2024 against the driver Mithilesh Singh who was the driver of the offending vehicle.

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, GAYAJI

A.B.P. No. 924/2026

**Karu Lal Prasad @ Yogendra Kumar Sinha & Ors. Vs. The
State of Bihar**

Bodhgaya P.S. Case No. 270/2024

Ld. counsel further submits that in the present case, i.e. Bodhgaya P.S. Case No. 270/2024, the petitioners have been falsely implicated on the allegations that they created commotion and disturbance on the road. He further submits that the petitioners are deeply aggrieved by the tragic death in their family and in fact, have nothing to do with the offence.

Ld. counsel further contended that the petitioners were extended protection in terms of section 41(A) of the Cr.P.C. and during the course of investigation, they have fully cooperated to the IO. He further contends that the petitioners carry no criminal antecedents.

Ld. counsel further submits that subsequently after submission of charge-sheet, cognizance has been taken vide order dated 17.06.2025 and up-till now only bailable warrant has been issued. He further submits that apprehending that once they appear before the Court concerned, they may be taken into custody, they have approached this Court for grant of protection.

Ld. counsel further submits that all the offences are bailable except section 353 and 354 of the IPC which are super addition to make the case more serious.

Ld. P.P. has also been heard in opposition to the prayer for bail.

I have considered the submissions and have perused the order dated 17.06.2025, taking cognizance against the petitioners.

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, GAYAJI

A.B.P. No. 924/2026

**Karu Lal Prasad @ Yogendra Kumar Sinha & Ors. Vs. The
State of Bihar****Bodhgaya P.S. Case No. 270/2024**

Taking note of the aforesaid submissions, particularly the submission that the petitioners having no criminal antecedents were extended protection in terms of section 41(A) of the Cr.P.C., the prayer for anticipatory bail of these petitioners is hereby **allowed**. It is directed that the petitioners in the event of arrest or surrender before the court concerned within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order, the petitioners are directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of **Rs.25,000/-** with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the court concerned with condition as laid down u/s 482 of the BNSS.

(Dictated and corrected)

Sd/-

**(M.K. Kaushik)
Sessions Judge, Gayaji
19.03.2026**

Date of Judgment/Order	19.03.2026
Date of reserving Judgment/Order	N/A
Uploading Date	26.03.2026
Uploaded by	Steno (Vikash Kumar)